Atmospheric Temperature, Moisture, Ozone, and Motion – Infrared (MOD-07)

Jun Li, Liam Gumley, Suzanne Wetzel-Seemann, Chris Moeller, Steve Ackerman, Richard Frey, Dave Santek, Jeff Key, Chris Velden, and Paul Menzel

18 Dec 2001





Earth emitted spectra overlaid on Planck function envelopes



Atmospheric Profile Retrieval from MODIS Radiances

$$I_{\lambda} = \epsilon_{\lambda}^{sfc} B_{\lambda}(T(p_s)) \tau_{\lambda}(p_s) - \int_{O}^{p_s} B_{\lambda}(T(p)) \left[d\tau_{\lambda}(p) / dp \right] dp.$$

I1, I2, I3,, In are measured with MODIS P(sfc) and T(sfc) come from ground based conventional observations $\tau_{\lambda}(p)$ are calculated with physics models

Regression relationship is inferred from (1) global set of in situ radiosonde reports, (2) calculation of expected radiances, and (3) statistical regression of observed raob profiles and calculated MODIS radiances

Need RT model, estimate of ϵ_{λ}^{sfc} , and MODIS radiances















MODIS IR Spectral Bands, MAS FWHM





Influence of Altitude Difference between MODIS and MAS





CalibrationMODIS L1B Accuracy Assessment



MODIS NEdR Estimate

Band 20	3.7 um	.007 mW/m2/ster/cm-1
Band 21	3.9	.02
Band 22	3.9	.04
Band 23	4.0	.025
Band 24	4.45	.03
Band 25	4.5	.045
Band 27	6.7	.08
Band 28	7.3	.07
Band 29	8.6	.25
Band 30	9.7	.2
Band 31	11.0	.3
Band 32	12.0	.3
Band 33	13.3	.4
Band 34	13.6	.6
Band 35	13.9	.4
Band 36	14.2	.5

Based on Earth Scene Data Day 01153, 20:10 UTC Clear scenes of the Pacific Ocean Note: Some SG present in MWIR Used 150 x 28 box (420 data points per detector)

Band 34

	Detector Number	RMS
	(Product Order)	$(\mathbf{mW}/\mathbf{m}^2 \operatorname{sr} \operatorname{cm}^{-1})$
Noisy Detectors	1	.46725
	2	.40609
	3	.51104
	4	.43430
	5	.73425
	6	1.0260
	7	1.2547
	8	1.1700
	9	.56228
	10	.35423



Detector to detector calibration MODIS L1B Accuracy Assessment







A known optical leak at 11um caused the image of the Baja peninsula to be present in MODIS 14.3um data. Through testing, the pre-launch correction coefficients were revised, removing the contamination.

Correcting Crosstalk





Atmospheric Profile Retrieval from MODIS Radiances

$$I_{\lambda} = \epsilon_{\lambda}^{sfc} B_{\lambda}(T(p_s)) \tau_{\lambda}(p_s) - \int_{O}^{p_s} B_{\lambda}(T(p)) \left[d\tau_{\lambda}(p) / dp \right] dp.$$

I1, I2, I3,, In are measured with MODIS P(sfc) and T(sfc) come from ground based conventional observations $\tau_{\lambda}(p)$ are calculated with physics models

Regression relationship is inferred from (1) global set of in situ radiosonde reports, (2) calculation of expected radiances, and (3) statistical regression of observed raob profiles and calculated MODIS radiances

Need RT model, estimate of ϵ_{λ}^{sfc} , and MODIS radiances

The **MODIS AP algorithms** are based on a **regression** procedure, and makes use of the NOAA-88 data set containing more than 7500 global profiles of temperature and moisture to determine the regression coefficients. The radiative transfer calculation of the MODIS spectral band radiances is performed for each training profile using the **Pressure layer Fast Algorithm** for Atmospheric Transmittances (PFAAST) transmittance **model**. This model has 101 pressure layer vertical coordinates from 0.1 to 1050 hPa and takes into account the satellite zenith angle, absorption by well-mixed gases (including nitrogen, oxygen, and carbon dioxide), water vapor, and ozone. The **MODIS instrument noise is added** into the calculated spectral band radiances, and these radiative transfer calculations provide a temperature-moisture-ozone profile and MODIS radiance pair for use in the statistical regression analysis.



MODIS 2000/09/05-08 Daytime 850 hPa Temperature (K) for 4 days





NOAA-15 AMSU-A 2000/09/05 Daytime 850 hPa Temperature (K) for one day











4μ m Surface Reflection must be considered



MODIS 25km Total Precipitable Water Vapor Low (mm): June 2, 2001 -- New Algorithm

MODIS Meeting



* Changed predictors band 24 and 25 (4.4 and 4.5 um) brightness temperatures to their BT difference to remove surface effects:
Old algorithm had 12 predictors:
individual bands 24, 25, and 27 through 36.
New algorithm has 11 predictors:
band 25 - 24 BT difference and
individual bands 27 through 36.

* Implemented *preliminary* radiance bias corrections. Currently based only on CART site data, global biases are in progress.

* Applied post-launch NEdT in place of pre-launch.

MODIS 25km 850hPa Temperature (K): June 2, 2001 -- New Algorithm

MODIS 25km 850hPa Temperature (K): June 2, 2001 -- Operational Algorithm





MODIS total precipitable water vapor shows a wet bias wrt GOES; bias 1.5 mm and rms of 3 mm; bias will be removed after more validation



MODIS 2000/09/05-08 Daytime Total Precipitable Water (cm)

values over land not shown to facilitate comparison with AMSU








MODIS ozone is very close to the GOES ozone (over North America); rms of about 10 Dobsons; polar extreme ozone values will be improved



MODIS 2000/09/05-08 Daytime Total Ozone (Dobsons)





Earth Probe TOMS 2000/09/05 Total Ozone (Dobsons)







Algorithm Change effect on Total Column Ozone (dob)



A Preview of ABI High Resolution Water Vapor Imagery 1 km MODIS & 4x8 km GOES



Four Panel Zoom of Cloud-Free Orographic Waves revealed in Water Vapor Imagery





Every 100 min MODIS covers polar regions



Winds from MODIS: An Arctic Example

Cloud-track winds (left) and water vapor winds (right) from MODIS for a case in the western Arctic. The wind vectors were derived from a sequence of three images, each separated by 100 minutes. They are plotted on the first 11 μ m (left) and 6.7 μ m (right) images in the sequence.



Orbital Issues

How often can wind vectors be obtained from a polarorbiting satellites? The figure below shows the time of successive overpasses at a given latitude-longitude point on a single day with only the Terra satellite. The figure at the upper right shows the frequency of "looks" by two satellites: Terra and (the future) Aqua. The figure at the lower right shows the temporal sampling with five satellites.









Early Estimates of UW MODIS Atmospheric Products Quality

MODIS IR radiances agree to within 1.5 C with GOES and ER-2 MAS/SHIS

MODIS layer tropospheric temperatures compare well with AMSU; rms better than 1 C, both within 2 C of radiosonde observations; validated with know characteristics CART site validation ongoing.

MODIS layer dewpoint temperatures depict gradients very well; are within 3-4 C of radiosonde observations.

MODIS ozone is very close to the GOES ozone (over North America); rms of about 10 Dobsons; polar extreme ozone values will be improved with more training data. validated with known characteristics.

MODIS polar winds represent coherent atmospheric motion; model assimilation underway; geo-like quality observed; within 7 – 10 m/s of the few raobs available for validation.

Total Precipitable Water Vapor – Infrared (MOD-05)

Suzanne Wetzel-Seemann, Jun Li, Liam Gumley, and Paul Menzel

18 Dec 2001





Earth emitted spectra overlaid on Planck function envelopes



Total Water Vapor Retrieval from MODIS IR Radiances

$$I_{\lambda} = \varepsilon_{\lambda}^{\text{sfc}} B_{\lambda}(T(p_s)) \tau_{\lambda}(p_s) - \int_{O}^{p_s} B_{\lambda}(T(p)) \left[d\tau_{\lambda}(p) / dp \right] dp.$$

I1, I2, I3,, In are measured with MODIS P(sfc) and T(sfc) come from ground based conventional observations $\tau_{\lambda}(p)$ are calculated with physics models

Regression relationship is inferred from (1) global set of in situ radiosonde reports, (2) calculation of expected radiances, and (3) statistical non-linear regression of observed Raob TPW and calculated MODIS radiances (brightness temperatures)

Need RT model, estimate of $\varepsilon_{\lambda}^{\text{sfc}}$, and MODIS radiances

The **MODIS TPW algorithm** is based on a **regression** procedure, and makes use of the NOAA-88 data set containing more than 7500 global profiles of temperature and moisture to determine the regression coefficients. The radiative transfer calculation of the MODIS spectral band radiances is performed for each training profile using the **Pressure layer Fast Algorithm** for Atmospheric Transmittances (PFAAST) transmittance **model**. This model has 101 pressure layer vertical coordinates from 0.1 to 1050 hPa and takes into account the satellite zenith angle, absorption by well-mixed gases (including nitrogen, oxygen, and carbon dioxide), water vapor, and ozone. The **MODIS instrument noise is added** into the calculated spectral band radiances, and these radiative transfer calculations provide a temperature-moisture-ozone profile and MODIS radiance pair for use in the statistical regression analysis.

<u>**Total Water Vapour**</u> can be evaluated in multiple infrared window channels where absorption is weak, so that

 $\tau_{w} = \exp[-k_{w}u] \sim 1 - k_{w}u$ where w denotes window channel

and

$$d\tau_{\rm w}$$
 = - $k_{\rm w} du$

What little absorption exists is due to water vapour, therefore, u is a measure of precipitable water vapour. RTE in window region

$$I_{w} = B_{sw} (1-k_{w}u_{s}) + k_{w} \int_{0}^{u_{s}} B_{w}du$$

u_s represents total atmospheric column absorption path length due to water vapour, and s denotes surface. Defining an atmospheric mean Planck radiance, then

$$I_{w} = B_{sw} (1-k_{w}u_{s}) + k_{w}u_{s}B_{w} \text{ with } B_{w} = \int_{0}^{u_{s}} B_{w}du / \int_{0}^{u_{s}} du$$

Since B_{sw} is close to both I_w and B_w , first order Taylor expansion about the surface temperature T_s allows us to linearize the RTE with respect to temperature, so

 $T_{bw} = T_s (1-k_w u_s) + k_w u_s T_w$, where T_w is mean atmospheric temperature corresponding to B_w .

For two window channels (11 and 12um) the following ratio can be determined.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{s} - T_{bw1} & k_{w1}u_{s}(T_{s} - \overline{T}_{w1}) & k_{w1} \\ \hline T_{s} - T_{bw2} & k_{w1}u_{s}(\overline{T}_{s} - \overline{T}_{w2}) & k_{w2} \end{array}$$

where the mean atmospheric temperature measured in the one window region is assumed to be comparable to that measured in the other, $T_{w1} \sim T_{w2}$,

Thus it follows that

$$T_{s} = T_{bw1} + \frac{k_{w1}}{k_{w2} - k_{w1}} [T_{bw1} - T_{bw2}]$$

$$u_{s} = \frac{T_{bw} - T_{s}}{k_{w} (T_{w} - T_{s})}.$$

and

Obviously, the accuracy of the determination of the total water vapour concentration depends upon the contrast between the surface temperature,
$$T_s$$
, and

the effective temperature of the atmosphere T_{w}

GOES vs. MODIS 2000/06/30 1600 UTC Total Precipitable Water (mm)





MODIS total precipitable water vapor shows a wet bias wrt GOES; bias 1.5 mm and rms of 3 mm; bias will be removed after more validation







SWIR daytime reflection causing wet atm over African desert



* Changed predictors band 24 and 25 (4.4 and 4.5 um) brightness temperatures to their BT difference to remove surface effects:
Old algorithm had 12 predictors:
individual bands 24, 25, and 27 through 36.
New algorithm has 11 predictors:
band 25 - 24 BT difference and
individual bands 27 through 36.

* Separated training into five regression BT zones to include a broader range of moisture regimes

* Implemented *preliminary* radiance bias corrections. Currently based only on CART site data, global biases are in progress.

* Applied post-launch NEdT in place of pre-launch.

MODIS Radiance (BT) Bias wrt CART Site



MODIS NEdR Estimate

Band 20	3.7 um	.007 mW/m2/ster/cm-1
Band 21	3.9	.02
Band 22	3.9	.04
Band 23	4.0	.025
Band 24	4.45	.03
Band 25	4.5	.045
Band 27	6.7	.08
Band 28	7.3	.07
Band 29	8.6	.25
Band 30	9.7	.2
Band 31	11.0	.3
Band 32	12.0	.3
Band 33	13.3	.4
Band 34	13.6	.6
Band 35	13.9	.4
Band 36	14.2	.5

Based on Earth Scene Data Day 01153, 20:10 UTC Clear scenes of the Pacific Ocean Note: Some SG present in MWIR Used 150 x 28 box (420 data points per detector)



MODIS TPW June 2, 2001: Operational Algorithm (IR ch 24 -> 36)







MODIS TPW June 2, 2001: New Algorithm 1 (Ch 24 - 25)





MODIS TPW June 2, 2001: New Algorithm 2 (5 zones)







MODIS TPW June 2, 2001: New Algorithm 3 (5 overlapping zones)









New MODIS TPW Algorithm: Comparison with NOAA-15 Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit (AMSU) for June 2, 2001



Improvement in Desert TPW: North Africa June 2, 2001: 0830, 0835, 1010, 1015, 1150, 1155 UTC







Comparison with MOD05: North Africa June 2, 2001: 0830, 0835, 1010, 1015, 1150, 1155 UTC

New MOD07 TPW

MOD05 TPW








CART Site TPW Comparison: Sample of One Case





December 18, 2001

MODIS Science Team Meeting



CART Site TPW Comparison: 27 Cases March 15 - December 2, 2001



MODIS: Product Image Artifacts

Product striping introduced because:

- two mirror sides not identical and not characterized perfectly,
- each detector calibrated independently,
- some detectors "out of family" compared to majority for a given band,
- out of band response influencing measured radiance.

Destriping approaches being tested:

- generate granule overall mean and standard deviation for each detector each mirror side;
- in regions of low standard deviation, select reference detector and compute radiance ratios of different detectors;
- scale other detectors using computed radiance ratio.

MODIS L1B Accuracy Assessment



MODIS Band 27 (6.7 µm), 2001-06-04 16:45 UTC



Original L1B (V003)

Destriped

Early Estimates of UW MODIS IR TPW Product Quality

MODIS IR total precipitable water vapor

* captures TPW gradients very well over oceans
* has been improved over daytime non vegetated land
* is very sensitive to multi-spectral striping
* shows a wet bias wrt microwave for TPW < 15mm, a dry bias for TPW > 15mm
* bias will be removed after more validation.

MODIS and GOES TPW agree well with rms difference of 3 mm

MODIS TPW

* best IR TPW is five zone split window regression on de-striped data

* vis-NIR TPW also available over daytime land

STATUS – Validated with known characteristics

Cloud Top Properties – Infrared (MOD-06)

Richard Frey, Bryan Baum, Kathy Strabala, Shaima Nasiri, Hong Zhang, Jun Li, and Paul Menzel

18 Dec 2001



Cloud Top Properties

Objective: Retrieve cloud top properties for every 5 x 5 box of 1000 m FOVs where at least 5 FOVs are cloudy.

Method: Longwave infrared CO2 slicing; tri-spectral for cloud phase.

Products: Cloud top pressure and temperature; Cloud fraction and effective emissivity; Cloud phase (water, ice, mixed).

Frey, R. A. et al, 1999: A comparison of cloud top heights computed from airborne lidar and MAS radiance data. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 24547-24555.



Earth emitted spectra overlaid on Planck function envelopes



MODIS identifies cloud classes



Clear Snow Low Cloud Mid-low Cloud High Cloud

Clouds separate into classes when multispectral radiance information is viewed





<u>RTE in Cloudy Conditions</u>

$$I_{-} = _I^{cd} + (1 - _) I^{c} \text{ where } cd = cloud, c = clear, _ = cloud fraction$$

$$I^{c} = B_{-}(T_{s}) \tau_{-}(p_{s}) + \int_{-}^{0} B_{-}(T(p)) d\tau_{-}.$$

$$I^{cd} = (1 - _) B_{-}(T_{s}) \tau_{-}(p_{s}) + (1 - _) \int_{-}^{p_{c}} B_{-}(T(p)) d\tau_{-}$$

$$P_{s}$$

$$+ _B_{-}(T(p_{c})) \tau_{-}(p_{c}) + \int_{-}^{0} B_{-}(T(p)) d\tau_{-}$$

__is emittance of cloud. First two terms are from below cloud, third term is cloud contribution, and fourth term is from above cloud. After rearranging

$$I_{-} - I_{-}^{c} = \underline{\qquad} \begin{array}{c} p_{c} & dB_{-} \\ \int \tau(p) & \underline{\qquad} \\ p_{s} & dp \end{array} dp$$

Techniques for dealing with clouds fall into three categories: (a) searching for cloudless fields of view, (b) specifying cloud top pressure and sounding down to cloud level as in the cloudless case, and (c) employing adjacent fields of view to determine clear sky signal from partly cloudy observations.

Cloud Properties

RTE for cloudy conditions indicates dependence of cloud forcing (observed minus clear sky radiance) on cloud amount ($\eta \epsilon_{\lambda}$) and cloud top pressure (p_c)

$$(I_{\lambda} - I_{\lambda}^{clr}) = \eta \varepsilon_{\lambda} \int_{p_s}^{p_c} \tau_{\lambda} dB_{\lambda} .$$

Higher colder cloud or greater cloud amount produces greater cloud forcing; dense low cloud can be confused for high thin cloud. Two unknowns require two equations.

 p_c can be inferred from radiance measurements in two spectral bands where cloud emissivity is the same. $\eta \epsilon_{\lambda}$ is derived from the infrared window, once p_c is known. This is the essence of the CO2 slicing technique.





MAS CO2 heights validated with 4700 Lidar measurements



MODIS 2000/03/12 1730 UTC Cloud Top Pressure

VIS CM

MODIS Cloud Mask

MODIS

Cloud

Properties

Νε

r>95

b>75

g>50

y>25

Comparison of CLS (nadir view), HIRS (3 hrs later), RAOB, & MODIS Cloud Properties

MODIS 2000/09/05-08

Cloudtop Pressure

Cloudtop Temperature

Cloud Fraction

MODIS CO2 heights compared with GOES CO2 heights

GOES Minus MODIS Cloud Top Pressures (mb)

MODIS CO2 heights compared with GOES CO2 heights High clouds < 400 mb

Comparison of GOES and MODIS Cloud Top Pressures June 2-5, 2001

GOES High Clouds (LT 400 mb)

GOES Minus MODIS Cloud Top Pressures (mb)

MODIS sees high clouds lower in atmosphere

MODIS CO2 heights compared with GOES CO2 heights mid level clouds between 400 and 700 mb

Comparison of GOES and MODIS Cloud Top Pressures

June 2-5, 2001

GOES Mid-level Clouds (400-700 mb)

GOES Minus MODIS Cloud Top Pressures (mb)

MODIS CO2 heights compared with GOES CO2 heights Low clouds > 700 mb

Comparison of GOES and MODIS Cloud Top Pressures June 2-5, 2001 GOES Low Clouds (GE 700 mb)

GOES Minus MODIS Cloud Top Pressures (mb)

MODIS sees low clouds higher in atmosphere

Cloud Top Properties - December 1, 2000

Tri-spectral IR thermodynamic phase algorithm

- 8.6-11 vs 11-12
- when slope > 1 then ice
- when slope < 1 then water

Strabala, Menzel, and Ackerman, 1994, *JAM*, **2**, 212-229. Baum et al, 2000, *JGR*, **105**, 11781-11792.

Multispectral data reveals improved information about ice / water clouds

SSEC/CIMSS

Simulations of Ice and Water Phase Clouds

Ice clouds:

• BTD[8.5-11] > 0 over a large range of optical thicknesses

 $\bullet 1.6\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ reflectances for ice clouds tend to be lower than for water clouds

Midlevel clouds:

•BTD[8.5-11] < 0 for both water and ice clouds

Low-level, warm clouds:

•BTD[8.5-11] always negative
•1.6-μm reflectances for water clouds tend to be higher than for ice clouds

Radiative transfer simulations for a cirrus model derived from in-situ data collected during the FIRE-I field campaign (upper two panels) and a water cloud having an effective radius of 10 μ m. Viewing angles are $\theta_0 = 45^\circ$, $\theta = 20^\circ$, and $\phi = 40^\circ$ and midlatitude summer temperature and relative humidity profiles are assumed.

200 by 200 pixels of MODIS Data from 15 Oct. 2000 at 1725Z

MODIS Frequency of Co-occurrence Water Phase with 253 K $< T_{cld} < 268$ K 05 Nov. 2000 - Daytime Only

frequency of occurrence in percent (%)
MODIS Frequency of Co-occurrence Water Phase with $253 < T_{cld} < 268$ K 05 Nov. 2000 - Nighttime Only





frequency of occurrence in percent (%)

Water phase clouds with $238K < T_c < 253K$









Early Estimates of UW MODIS Cloud Products Quality

Cloud mask has demonstrated advantages of new multispectral approach; sun glint, desert, and polar problems diminished.

MODIS cloud top pressures compare well with GOES; aircraft validation is better than 50 mb; best product from de-striped data; validated with known characteristics.

MODIS cloud phase determinations are revealing interesting patterns; first global day/night ice/water cloud determinations; validations pending (e.g. CLEX).

Validation committee incognito



Early Estimates of MODIS Cloud and Atmospheric Products Quality

```
IR radiances agree to within 1.5 C with GOES and ER-2 MAS/SHIS
Cloud mask has demonstrated advantages of new multispectral approach;
         sun glint, desert, and polar problems diminished.
Layer tropospheric temperatures compare well with AMSU
         rms better than 1 C, both within 2 C of radiosonde observations;
Layer dewpoint temperatures depict gradients very well
         are within 2-3 C rms of radiosonde observations.
IR total precipitable water vapor within 3 mm rms
         captures TPW gradients very well over oceans
         has been improved over daytime non vegetated land
Ozone is very close to the GOES ozone (over North America)
         rms of about 10 Dobsons
         polar extreme ozone values will be improved with more training data.
Polar winds represent coherent atmospheric motion;
         geo-like quality observed
         within 7 - 10 m/s of the few raobs available for validation
Cloud top pressures compare well with GOES
         aircraft validation is better than 50 mb
Cloud phase determinations are revealing interesting patterns
         first global day/night ice/water cloud determinations
```

Provisional Products

- Product quality may not be optimal
- Incremental product improvements are still occurring
- General research community is encouraged to participate in the QA and validation of the product, but need to be aware that product validation and QA are ongoing

• Users are urged to contact science team representatives prior to use of the data in publications

• May be replaced in archive when the validated product becomes available

Validated Products

- Formally validated product, although validation is still ongoing
- Uncertainties are well defined
- Ready for use in scientific publications, and by other agencies
- There may be later improved versions
- Earlier validated versions will be deleted from the archive after a 6 month overlap period, but code for earlier versions will be maintained indefinitely