MODIS Infrared Cloud Phase and the MODIS Simulator Radiative Transfer Package

Bryan A. Baum, Richard Frey, Robert Holz Space Science and Engineering Center University of Wisconsin-Madison

Paul Menzel NOAA

Many other colleagues





MODIS Science Team Meeting Oct. 31-Nov. 2, 2006

IR-Based Cloud Thermodynamic Phase

Approach through Collection 5



RT calculations form basis of algorithm;

Operational IR algorithm applied to 5x5 averaged data

Validation primarily from field campaigns involving MAS/MODIS & CPL

IR-based cloud phase

Philosophy: do what can be done well; leave the rest for research

What has changed?

Much more depolarization lidar data from HSRLs, CPL, CALIOP, so potential for validation

Improvements in just about every aspect of RT modeling









Rapid product evaluation and improvement

Must be able to recreate the products, using research models/code

Require same inputs as operational products

Given a date and location, need

- RT models
- 101-level atmospheric profiles developed from GDAS (or another source)
- Surface albedo & surface emissivity values
- Satellite viewing angles
- Cloud libraries

The MODIS Simulator Radiative Transfer Package

Work in progress - older code being refurbished

Based on the Discrete Ordinates radiative transfer model Atmospheric column absorption: correlated-k routines 23 MODIS bands total: 1-7; 17-20; 22; 23; 26-29; 31-36 Water cloud bulk scattering properties: Mie theory Ice cloud bulk scattering properties: Collection 5 ice models Phase function expansion: Dfit routine - being updated

 CO_2 concentration now an input variable to correlated-k routines

Atmospheric CO_2 has not been constant



Figure 1. Time series of monthly mean surface CO_2 volume mixing ratios for 4 flask stations. The red line represents the values used by ECMWF.

(From Engelen et al., Geophysical Research Letters, 2001)

Finally have some independent cloud phase data

Goal: Evaluate MODIS cloud thermodynamic phase through intercomparison with depolarization measurements from CALIOP & depolarization lidar data (i.e., HSRL)

Strategy for product improvement:

- develop protocols for intercomparisons
- prioritize problem regions
- use new RT package for investigation
- test proposed solutions on global data
- focus on efficiency
- develop and test solutions
- quantify improvement

Arctic HSRL - Barrow, Alaska

http://lidar.ssec.wisc.edu



Arctic HSRL - Barrow, Alaska

http://lidar.ssec.wisc.edu



Arctic HSRL - Barrow, Alaska

http://lidar.ssec.wisc.edu



Intercomparing CALIOP and Aqua Data

CALIOP data: about 80 m resolution

MODIS cloud products at both 1 & 5 km

Process goes like this:

- 1. Determine mechanics how to link observations from two different spaceborne platforms (i.e., Aqua and CALIPSO)
- 2. Link viewing geometry to obtain correspondence between observations
- 3. Strip out the appropriate data products (may mean multiple granules)
- 4. Perform intercomparison*





Example of CALIOP-MODIS June 15, 2006; 0450 UTC Nighttime

Technique for matching data from different platforms (CALIOP with MODIS) by Fred Nagle and Bob Holz

Will also be used for CALIOP-AIRS AIRS-MODIS Geosynchronous-polar

....





Summary

CALIOP provides first comprehensive dataset for independent inference of cloud thermodynamic phase

Code is available to merge MODIS with CALIOP

Developing new MODIS simulator RT package for MODIS atmosphere team

Use PEATE-like environment to evaluate performance using global MODIS and CALIOP data

Approach will reduce time necessary to update MODIS operational code should there be a new collection













Uncertain

60[°] N







0 0.02 0.04 0.06 0.08 0.1 0.12 0.14 0.16 0.18 0.2

0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1