Aerosol Retrievals using Airborne Lidar and MODIS Measurements

Richard Ferrare ⁽¹⁾, Edward Browell ⁽¹⁾, Syed Ismail ⁽¹⁾, Yoram Kaufman ⁽²⁾, Lorraine Remer⁽²⁾, Vanderlei Martins⁽³⁾, Mian Chin ⁽²⁾, John Hair ⁽¹⁾, Chris Hostetler⁽¹⁾, Carolyn Butler ^(1,3), Sharon Burton^(1,3), Vince Brackett ^(1,3), Marta Fenn ^(1,3), Anthony Notari ^(1,3), Susan Kooi ^(1,3), Marian Clayton ^(1,3) Phil Russell ⁽⁴⁾, Jens Redemann ^(4,5), John Livingston ^(4,6), Beat Schmid ^(4,5), Gao Chen ⁽¹⁾, Antony Clarke ⁽⁷⁾, Jean Francois Léon⁽⁸⁾

(1)Science Directorate, NASA Langley Research Center, MS 401A, Hampton, Virginia, 23681,USA
(2) NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, 20771,USA
(3) University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD
(3) Science Application International Corporation, Hampton, NASA Langley Research Center, MS 927, VA 23666 USA
(4) NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA
(5) Bay Area Environmental Research Institute, Sonoma, CA
(6) SRI International, Menlo Park, CA
(7)University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI
(8) Laboratoire d'Optique Atmospherique, Lille, France



MODIS Atmospheres Meeting, October 31, 2006





- Retrieve aerosol extinction and optical thickness profiles from lidar data
- Identify aerosol types vs. altitude
- •Evaluate ability of GOCART model to simulate aerosol extinction profiles and aerosol type
- Use combination of airborne lidar and MODIS to provide information regarding the vertical distribution of aerosol properties (size, fine mode fraction)



Aerosol Profile Retrievals

• Problem - backscatter lidar equation (1 equation with 2 unknowns - backscatter and extinction)

Solution - use aerosol optical thickness (e.g. total aerosol transmission) derived from MODIS and/or model (e.g. GOCART, MATCH) to constrain solution and derive average lidar ratio

Since DC-8 flights occurred over areas not measured by MODIS, we require other ways to estimate AOT over flight tracks

• For TRACE-P (Spring 2001), GOCART was used due to good match between GOCART and MODIS AOT

• For INTEX-NA (Summer 2004), we used MATCH simulations of AOT - MATCH assimilates MODIS AOT



TRACE-P Flt 14 – 03/23-24/2001



Aerosol Profile Retrievals

- Aerosol extinction and backscatter profiles were computed for TRACE-P and INTEX
- Retrieved aerosol extinction profiles were evaluated using remote sensing and in situ data
- TRACE-P
 - extinction from in situ scattering (neph) + absorption (PSAP) on DC-8 (all flights)
- INTEX NA
 - extinction from in situ scattering (neph) + absorption (PSAP) on DC-8 (all flights)
 - extinction from airborne Sun photometer AOT measurements on J-31 (one flight)
- Results Bias differences were relatively small (0.007 km-1 or about 13%, DIAL larger), rms differences were considerably larger (0.05 km-1, 80%)
- Relatively large temporal (10-20 minutes) and spatial (30-200 km) differences between the lidar and in situ profiles contributed to the rms differences





 Lidar aerosol extinction profiles are used to evaluate GOCART aerosol simulations



- Lidar aerosol extinction profiles are used to evaluate GOCART aerosol simulations
- During TRACE-P, GOCART profiles were lower than lidar throughout troposphere, with smallest differences near the surface
- During INTEX-NA, GOCART and lidar profiles agreed above 1 km, largest differences near the surface
- Different behavior may be related to more frequent occurrence of elevated layers during TRACE-P
- GOCART shows less vertical variability in wavelength dependence (particle size) than lidar



Can we use DIAL measurements of aerosol intensive parameters (backscatter and extinction color ratios, depolarization) to help identify aerosol type?



- Aerosol types were grouped using intensive parameters derived from DIAL
 - Extinction color ratio
 - Backscatter color ratio
 - Depolarization

Aerosol Classification Using Lidar Measurements



Aerosol Classification Using Lidar Measurements



Evaluate GOCART model simulations of aerosol type

Use lidar profile measurements to evaluate GOCART model simulations of aerosol type



Evaluate GOCART model simulations of aerosol type

• No one-to-one correspondence between GOCART aerosol types and aerosol clusters but some correlation among the clusters and types

- Cluster 1 highest fraction of sulfate
- Cluster 2 highest fraction of sea salt
- Cluster 3 highest fraction of carbon
- Clusters 4, 5 highest fractions of dust



MODIS+lidar Aerosol Retrieval

- Retrieval algorithms (2 Wavelength)
 - (Kaufman et al., IEEE, 2003; GRL, 2003; Léon et al., JGR, 2003)
 - Aerosol size distribution bimodal lognormal
 - MODIS aerosol models 20 combinations of 4 fine, 5 coarse particles
 - Size of each mode is assumed to be altitude independent
 - Relative weight of each mode is determined as a function of altitude from lidar backscatter color ratio
 - Retrievals are constrained to fit MODIS measurements
 - Spectral reflectance
 - \bullet Column AOT and $\rm r_{eff}$
- Modifications (3 Wavelength)
 - UV wavelength (300 nm) more information on fine particle size
 - Constrain to MODIS AOT to account for lidar calibration uncertainties
 - Depolarization adjust the backscatter phase function for nonsphericity
- Evaluation
 - Retrievals were evaluated using DC-8 in situ measurements

MODIS+lidar Aerosol Retrievals

July 22, 2004

13:08-13:30 UT

16:33-16:42 UT



MODIS+lidar Aerosol Retrievals

0.5

2.0

July 18, 2004

14:45-15:22 UT





MODIS+lidar Aerosol Retrievals



August 2, 2004 18:13-18:34 UT

- Generally promising results
- Works best for AOT > 0.15
- Need more cases with coincident and colocated in situ data to evaluate results with various aerosol types



NASA Langley Airborne High Spectral Resolution Lidar (HSRL)



- Can be internally calibrated
- No correction for extinction required to derive backscatter profiles
- HSRL enables independent estimates of aerosol backscatter and extinction
 - Extinction and backscatter estimates require no S_a assumptions
 - Provide *intensive* optical data from which to infer aerosol type

Products

Extensive – depend on type and amount

Aerosol Backscatter 532 nm Aerosol Backscatter 1064 nm (standard retrieval) Aerosol Extinction and Aerosol Optical Thickness

Intensive – depend on type

Extinction-to-Backscatter Ratio (S_a) (532nm) Aerosol Depolarization (532 & 1064 nm) Aerosol Depolarization Ratio (1064/532 nm) Aerosol Wavelength Dependence (1064/532 nm)

Additional information over that provided by DIAL will aid:

- combined lidar+MODIS retrievals
- determination of aerosol type



Comparison of Aerosol Extinction Measurement



AATS14 data courtesy of Phil Russell, Jens Redemann, John Livingston (NASA)

HIGEAR data courtesy of Tony Clarke (Univ. of Hawaii)

Characterize the horizontal distribution of aerosol types

LaRC Airborne HSRL Measurements over Mexico City, March 13, 2006

- western part of city- high S_a, high WVD, low depolarization urban aerosol
- eastern part of city low Sa, low WVD, high depolarization dust
- Currently using HSRL measurements to assess RAQMS and STEM models



Summary

- MODIS data helped constrain airborne lidar retrievals of aerosol extinction profiles, and backscatter and extinction color ratios TRACE-P (2001) and INTEX NA (2004)
- Combination of lidar+MODIS measurements over ocean used to retrieve profiles of fine mode fraction and effective radius
 - Evaluated algorithms that use both 2 and 3 wavelength lidar measurements
 - Good agreement with extinction, fine mode fraction for AOT (550 nm) > 0.15
- Evaluating GOCART simulations (TRACE-P and INTEX NA)
 - Aerosol extinction in general agreement with lidar profiles, but details differ depending on campaign
- Work in progress using lidar measurements to identify & group aerosol types
 - Use cluster analysis techniques to identify and group aerosols
 - Some correspondence between TRACE-P clusters and GOCART aerosol types
- Future
 - Use aerosol extinction, backscatter, depolarization measurements from LaRC airborne High Spectral Resolution Lidar (HSRL) – INTEX-B/MILAGRO (Mexico City), TEXAQS/GoMACCS (Houston) for combined lidar+MODIS+PARASOL retrievals and identification of aeosol type

Backup Slides

Aerosol Profile Retrievals

- Since DC-8 flights occurred over areas not measured by MODIS, we require other ways to estimate AOT over flight tracks
- For INTEX-NA (Summer 2004), initial GOCART simulations had lower AOT than MODIS due to underestimate of smoke emissions, so we have initially used MATCH simulations of AOT provided by NCAR for CERES
- MATCH assimilates MODIS AOT





<u>Outline</u>

- Objectives
- Airborne Lidar Aerosol Measurements
- GOCART model evaluation
- Aerosol classification using lidar data
- Lidar + MODIS retrievals
- Summary and Future







HSRL independently measures aerosol and molecular backscatter

- Can be internally calibrated
- No correction for extinction required to derive backscatter profiles
- More accurate aerosol layer top/base heights
- HSRL enables independent estimates of aerosol backscatter and extinction
 - Extinction and backscatter estimates require no S_a assumptions
 - Provide *intensive* optical data from which to infer aerosol type

Products

Extensive – depend on type and amount

Aerosol Backscatter 532 nm

Aerosol Backscatter 1064 nm (standard retrieval) Aerosol Extinction and Aerosol Optical Thickness

Intensive – depend on type

Extinction-to-Backscatter Ratio (S_a) (532nm) Aerosol Depolarization (532 & 1064 nm) Aerosol Depolarization Ratio (1064/532 nm) Aerosol Wavelength Dependence (1064/532 nm)

Atmospheric Scattering



Effect of Iodine Vapor Notch Filter



Aerosol Wavelength Dependence – July 22, 2004



NASA Langley Airborne UV DIAL Measurements

- Ozone Differential Absorption Lidar (DIAL) Profiles
- (λ_{on}=289 nm & λ_{off} =300 nm)
- Aerosol & Cloud Scattering Ratio Profiles (300, 576, & 1064 nm)
- Simultaneous Nadir and Zenith Ozone & Aerosol Profiling
- Nadir Aerosol Depolarization Profiles (576 nm)
- Deployed on NASA DC-8 for TRACE-P (2001), INTEX NA (2004)





Browell et al., J. Geophys. Res, 108(D20), 8805, 2003.

GOCART and MODIS AOT Comparisons



Aerosol Extinction and Color Ratio Profiles – July 22, 2004



Vertical Profile of Aerosol Extinction Color Ratio – GOCART

TRACE-P March 24, 2001



Vertical Profile of Aerosol Backscatter Color Ratio – GOCART



Preliminary Aerosol Profile Properties – Retrieval Results - July 22, 2004

- (biomass) High HCN, ethyne, CO, O3, H2O, airmass from Canada, mixed with pollution (NO2 spike)

- (pollution) High SO2, CO, O3, low H2O, fresh urban and industrial. trajectories from great lakes, and East Coast (near end) but very simimal chemical signature





Aerosol Measurements – July 15, 2004

DC-8 Flight 8



MODIS AOT (550 nm)





March 24, 2001 MODIS+GOCART



Aerosol Profile Retrieval – July 22, 2004

- Attenuation corrected applied using MODIS AOT constraint
- Correction at low altitudes ~ 200-300% at 588 nm, 20-40% at 1064 nm



Aerosol Extinction Profiles – July 22

- Retrievals used constrained with MODIS AOT
- Currently limited to locations where satellite retrievals of AOT are present





AOT and Aerosol Extinction Profiles – July 22



• Problem - Backscatter lidar equation (1 equation with 2 unknowns)



• Solution – we use aerosol optical thickness (e.g. total aerosol transmission) derived from MODIS and/or model (e.g. GOCART) to constrain solution and derive average lidar ratio

MODIS and GOCART AOT – TRACE-P

Since DC-8 flights occurred over areas not measured by MODIS, we require other ways to estimate AOT over flight tracks
For TRACE-P, we used GOCART simulations

of AOT that have been adjusted according to least-squares fit between GOCART and MODIS AOT





Aerosol Profile Retrieval – July 22, 2004



Aerosol Scattering Ratio – July 22, 2004



Preliminary Aerosol Extinction Comparison – July 22, 2004

- UV DIAL
- NASA Ames Airborne Sun Photometer (AATS14) on J-31 aircraft
- Scattering (nephelometer) + Absorption (PSAP) in situ on DC-8





Preliminary Aerosol Profile Properties – Retrieval Results - July 22, 2004

- (biomass) High HCN, ethyne, CO, O3, H2O, airmass from Canada, mixed with pollution (NO2 spike)

- (pollution) High SO2, CO, O3, low H2O, fresh urban and industrial. trajectories from great lakes, and East Coast (near end) but very similar chemical signature



Aerosol Model Comparisons



Global

Model representations of global annual AOT have become closer to observations

But...

Large model differences compositional mixture

Kinne et al., 2005



Large Variation in How Models Represent Aerosol Profiles

