# MODIS Surface Radiation Data Products Maintenance and Refinement



### Surface Reflectances

Fig. 1a. Comparison of MODIS band 1 surface reflectances and the reference data set for all available AERONET data for 2003. The circles are centered on the AERONET sites. The circle colors indicate the percentage of comparisons that falls within the theoretical MODIS one sigma error bar (green > 80%, 65% < yellow < 80%; 55% < magenta < 65%, red < 55%). The circle radii are proportional to the number of observations used in the data comparisons



Clicking on the location of a particular site will provide more detailed results for this site



the Alta Floresta site. Each bar corresponds to a date and time where coincident MODIS and AERONET data are available. The size of a bar indicates the percentage of 'good' MODIS observations for the given date and time. Thus, the graph itself displays the percentage of 'good' surface reflectance observations for all combined time periods.



### Eric F. Vermote<sup>1,2</sup>, Svetlana Y. Kotchenova<sup>1</sup>, & Jose G. Roa<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Geography, University of Maryland, USA; <sup>2</sup>NASA/GSFC Code 614.5

E-mail: eric@ltdri.org

To evaluate the performance of the MODIS Collection 5 algorithms, we analyzed 1 year of Terra data (2003) at 150 AERONET sites (more than 4000 cases). We developed an evaluation approach that allowed us to analyze a one-year long time series in a timely manner and provided us with a quantitative measure of the surface reflectance code improvement. The approach consists in processing subsets of Level 1B data over AERONET sites using an algorithm equivalent to that of the standard surface reflectance and comparing the results to a reference data set. The reference data set is created by atmospherically correcting the TOA reflectance derived from Level 1B subsets using the vector 6S and AERONET measurements (aerosol optical thickness, particle distribution, and water vapor content). For each case in our study, we compute the difference between the reflectance/ NDVI/EVI values obtained by the standard code and the reference data set. If the difference is less than the theoretical uncertainty of (0.005+5%), the observation is considered 'good'. The percentage of 'good' observations for each AERONET site is displayed on a map such as the ones shown in Fig. 1a or 2a,b. These maps are available at http://mod09val.ltdri.org/cgi-bin/mod09 c005 public allsites onecollection.cgi.

# Vegetation Indices (NDVI & EVI)

Methodology

Fig. 2a. Comparison of MODIS NDVI and the reference data set for all available AERONET data for 2003. Globally, 97.11% of the comparisons fell within the theoretical MODIS one sigma error bar (error bars =  $\pm/(0.02+2\%)$ ).

Fig. 2b. Comparison of MODIS EVI and the reference data set for all available AERONET data for 2003. Globally, 93.64% of the comparisons fell within the theoretical MODIS one sigma error bar (error bars =  $\pm/(0.02+2\%)$ ).



# Surface Albedo

### Introduction:

Surface albedo is a key variable in understanding the planetary radiative energy budget. The complexity of interactions between surface and atmospheric parameters, as well as the confounding relationship of negative and positive feedback mechanisms, require a highly accurate, timely, and synoptic methodology to measure albedo at a global scale. The MODIS BRDF/albedo product (MOD43) is calculated on the basis of the MODIS surface reflectance product (MOD09).

### Preliminary evaluation:

Fig. 3a. SW albedo obtained using the reference reflectance (6S/AERONET) vs. the one derived from MOD09. son over Lamont, OK (period 273-288): Albedo 0.161 0.145 0.150 0.155 0.160 0.195

Figure 3a also indicates that the albedo value measured over Lamont, averaged over 16 days (0.161), compares well to the black/white sky albedo range (0.156 - 0.158). The summary of the comparison with the albedo-meter data is presented in Fig. 3b, the 6S and MOD09 values agree very well for the whole period of study, confirming a good performance of the MOD09 product.

We have done some preliminary work to evaluate the influence of the uncertainties in the atmospheric correction on the albedo product. For one tower site close to an AERONET location, we analyzed data collected during 2003. The white and dark sky albedos derived from the reflectance corrected using the 6S code and AERONET measurements were compared to the albedos derived from the standard MOD09 Collection 5 product (Fig. 3a).

Fig. 3b. Comparison of the SW albedo obtained using the reference reflectance (6S/AERONET), SW albedo derived from MOD09, and albedometer measurements at Lamont, OK.



## **Theoretical Error Budget**

Table 1. Overall theoretical accuracy of the atmospheric correction method considering the error source on calibration, ancillary data and aerosol inversion for 3 aerosol optical thicknesses (0.05: clear, 0.3: avg., 0.5: hazy). The selected sites are Savanna (Skukuza), Forest (Belterra), and Arid (Sevilleta). The uncertainties are considered independent and summed in quadratic

The error budget needs to be reanalyzed using a better defined climatology of aerosols.

E.F. Vermote and N.Z. Saleous, 2006, Operational atmospheric correction of MODIS visible to middle infrared land surface data in the case of an infinite Lambertian target, Book chapter in "Earth Science Satellite Remote Sensing", Springer, in press.

