# Assessment of the MODIS Algorithm for Retrieval of Aerosol Parameters over the Ocean

## SUMMARY

The MODIS aerosol algorithm over the ocean derives spectral aerosol optical depth and aerosol size parameters from satellite measured radiances at the top of atmosphere (TOA) by adding of Apparent Optical Properties (AOPs): TOA reflectance is approximated as a linear combination of reflectances resulting from a small particle mode and a large particle mode; correct only in the single scattering limit.

For physically correct results: use linear combinations of the In**herent Optical Properties** (IOPs) of small and large particle modes. Using these IOPs as inputs to an accurate multiple scattering radiative transfer model, and we find that:

- Reflectance errors incurred with the AOP method are as high as 30%.
- The retrieved optical depth has a relative error of up to 8%, and the retrieved bimodal fraction an absolute error of about 8%.
- Accurate radiative transfer simulations yields accurate values for both the retrieved optical depth and the bimodal fraction.

### Difference between AOP and IOP Approach

We assume  $\eta_{550}$  and f have same values at 550 nm. f-value does not depend on wavelength. The relationship between  $\eta_{\lambda}$  and f at all wavelength will be (see Fig. 1 left panel):

$$\eta_{\lambda} = \tau_{\lambda}^{s} / \tau_{\lambda}^{tot} = \frac{f \ c_{s}(\lambda)}{f \ c_{s}(\lambda) + (1 - f) \ c_{l}(\lambda)}$$

Actually, the retrieved  $\eta$  is  $\eta_{550}$ . It is clear that the  $\eta$ -value varies considerably with wavelength.





FIGURE 1: Left panel: the relationship between  $\eta$  and f-values at each MODIS wavelength. Right panel: TOA reflectance difference  $\rho_{diff}$  versus  $\eta$  for a bimodal distribution of aerosols at 550 nm. The curves from top to bottom correspond to 5 optical depth values from 0.0 to 2.

In order to evaluate MODIS aerosol retrieval algorithm, we use the accurate and self-consistent radiative transfer model DISORT to calculate the TOA reflectance for both the AOP and the IOP approach. The difference in results is defined as follows:

$$\rho_{diff} = \left[\frac{\rho^{AOP}(\tau_a) - \rho^{IOP}(\tau_a)}{\rho^{IOP}_{TOA}(\tau_a)}\right] \times 100)$$

Fig. 1 (right) shows that the errors are considerable for large optical depths, caused by the breakdown of the single scattering assumption upon which the AOP approach is predicated. The biggest error is

Kexin Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Wei Li<sup>a</sup>, Knut Stamnes<sup>a</sup>, Hans Eide<sup>a</sup>, Robert Spurr<sup>b</sup>, and Si-Chee Tsay<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Light and Life Laboratory, Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, New Jersey 07030, USA. <sup>b</sup>RT SOLUTIONS Inc., Cambridge, MA 02138, USA <sup>c</sup>Earth Sciences Directorate, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771 USA

(5)

# MODIS A

The TOA reflectances as average of the reflectance

Acrosol Retrieval Algorithm (AOP approach)  
re condition from two log-comest consoline models by the weighted  
of each individual model is the same optical depth.  

$$p_k^{OP}(\tau_{250}^{(d)}) \approx p_k^{0}(\tau_{250}^{(d)}) = (1 + q)p_k^{1}(\tau_{250}^{(d)}) = (1 +$$

of Retrieval Algorithm (AOP approach)  
abinal from two log-act and arrano models by the weighted  
calindricate mode for the same optical depta:  

$$(\tau_{22}^{(n)}) \approx \eta \rho_{3}^{(n)}(\tau_{22}^{(n)}) = (1 - \eta) \rho_{3}^{(n)}(\tau_{22}^{(n)})$$
  
 $(\tau_{22}^{(n)}) \approx \eta \rho_{3}^{(n)}(\tau_{22}^{(n)}) = (1 - \eta) \rho_{3}^{(n)}(\tau_{22}^{(n)})$   
paticle mode  $I$  for the targe particle mode. By comparing  
effective error  $(\tau_{2}^{(OP)})$  for each charach:  
 $\Omega^{(n)} = \rho_{3}^{(n)} - \rho_{3}^{(OP)}(\rho_{3}^{(n)}) + 0.01$ .  
 $\Omega^{(n)} = \rho_{3}^{(n)} - \rho_{3}^{(OP)}(\rho_{3}^{(P)}) + 0.01$ .  
 $\Omega^{(n)} = \rho_{3}^{(OP)}($ 

where s stands for the s the computed and measu large-particle mode, we fi

We sum over all 6 MODIS  $\mu$ m) to get the total relativ minimum total relative er

Weaknesses of the A

1. It is based on the single 2. In Eq. (1), the same va

- not correct -  $\eta$ -values and

up to 8% when  $\eta$  close to 0.4. largest error could be up to 30

We use same retrieval proced tables for the TOA reflectance

- 1. Use the measured reflectance mode combinations.
- 2. Use these estimated  $\tau_{550}$ -v reflectances at the other fi remaining 5 MODIS bands.  $\eta$  and mode combination.

Following MODIS aerosol retr cuaracy. 9 aerosol modes (4 fit test cases (4 different aerosol Our improved algorithm gives For our improved algorithm 98 0.5% compared to only 36.6%for our improved algorithm is model, the correct selection is selection of aerosol model retrieval is 97.77 - 99.01% for our improved algorithm and 74.01 - 77.97% for MODIS algorithm (see Fig. 3).



particle mode (left) and large particle mode (right). The magenta bar improved algorithm.

2 3 4 Large Particle Mode

, S. C. Tsay, Assessment of the MODIS Algorithm for Retrieval of Aerosol cepted), 2006.



4



