## **On Harmonizing the IR-Based Cloud Top Properties Between MODIS and VIIRS**



**Goal:** The lack of at least one IR absorption channel on VIIRS degrades the accuracy of the cloud top pressure/ height and thermodynamic phase products. However, we can synthesize a high spatial resolution 13.3-µm CO<sub>2</sub> channel for VIIRS from a combination of VIIRS+CrIS (Cross, et al. 2013). The creation of a 13.3-µm "pseudo-channel" at the imager spatial resolution is a unique opportunity, possible because the top-ofatmosphere radiances at this wavelength have a contribution from both the surface (about 1/3 of the signal) and the atmosphere, primarily from  $CO_2$  (about 2/3 of the signal). The atmospheric contribution from  $CO_2$  is uniform enough so that CrIS measurements at coarser spatial resolution (~14 km) can be combined with the VIIRS window channel measurements to synthesize a 13.3- $\mu$ m channel at 750 m spatial resolution. The method is being developed and tested using MODIS and AIRS, since MODIS has measured 13.3- $\mu$ m radiances for assessing the pseudo-channel. The same approach can be applied to Metop (e.g. AVHRR and IASI). *More* information is available at http://www.ssec.wisc.edu/suomi\_npp/clouds/

## Importance of having a single IR sounding channel

As we learned in the MODIS Collection 6 development of the IR-based cloud thermodynamic phase, supplementing the IR window channels with a single sounding channel greatly improved the discrimination of optically thin ice clouds as being ice phase rather than uncertain. With the addition of a single sounding channel, the cloud top height/pressure is also improved over that obtained from IR window channels alone. To achieve harmony between MODIS and VIIRS, we suggest replacing (or supplementing) the CO<sub>2</sub> slicing algorithm, which uses 4 channels within the broad 15- $\mu$ m CO<sub>2</sub> band, with an optimal estimation approach.



Figure 1: (top) backscattering measurements from CALIPSO from 10 August 2006, (middle) CALIPSO cloud boundaries for the ice cloud only are shown in black, MODIS cloud-top pressures in red, and the optimal estimation-based CTP solution space in gray (lower) with the addition of the 13.3- $\mu$ m pseudo-channel, the solution space is much narrower and much closer to the MODIS retrievals. Figure from Heidinger et al. (2010).

## **Relevant Publications**

Baum, B. A., W. P. Menzel, R. A. Frey, D. Tobin, R. E. Holz, S. A. Ackerman, A. K. Heidinger, and P. Yang, 2012: MODIS cloud top property refinements for Collection 6. *J. Appl. Meteor. Clim.*, **51**, 1145-1163. Cross, J. I. Gladkova, W. P. Menzel, A. Heidinger, and M. D. Grossberg, 2013: Statistical estimation of a 13.3  $\mu$ m Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite channel using multisensor data fusion. J. Appl. Remote Sens. 7 (1), 073473. doi: 10.1117/1.JRS.7.073473.

Heidinger, A. K., M. J. Pavolonis, R. E. Holz, B. A. Baum, and S. Berthier, 2010: Using CALIPSO to explore the sensitivity to cirrus height in the infrared observations from NPOESS/VIIRS and GOES-R/ABI. J. Geophys. *Res.*, **115**, D00I15, doi:10.1029/2009JD012379.

Bryan A. Baum<sup>1</sup>, W. Paul Menzel<sup>1</sup>, Nick Bearson<sup>1</sup>, Irina Gladkova<sup>2</sup>, and James Cross III<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Space Science and Engineering Center, UW-Madison; <sup>2</sup>City College of New York



Figure 2: Results for IR cloud phase on 28 August 2006 (daytime, although it does not matter since only IR channels are used), for (a) Collection 5 IR phase algorithm, and (b) Collection 6 IR cloud phase. For the Collection 5 results, the "mixed-phase" pixels are merged into the "uncertain" category as is done with Collection 6. By introducing cloud emissivity ratio tests using a sounding channel, the discrimination of thin cirrus as being ice phase is much improved in Collection 6. The same approach can be adopted for VIIRS if there is a 13.3- $\mu$ m channel to work with. Figure from Baum et al. (2012).

Ice

Wate

Uncertain

Two methods are considered:

I. Data fusion of imager+sounder (e.g. MODIS+AIRS; VIIRS+CrIS; AVHRR+IASI on Metop) to create high spatial resolution 13.3- $\mu$ m channel as detailed in Cross et al. (2013). 2. Superimpose integrated 13.3- $\mu$ m radiances from coarser spatial resolution sounder (AIRS, CrIS, IASI) onto higher spatial resolution imagery. This approach is adopted in the Intermediate File Format (IFF) developed at the Atmosphere PEATE.



![](_page_0_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_20.jpeg)

## **Test: Comparison of Two Methods for Combining MODIS+AIRS**

Figure 3: (a) RGB MODIS image over the south China Sea on 1 March 2013, (b) actual MODIS 13.3- $\mu$ m radiances where cold clouds are dark, (c) multifusion pseudo-channel 13.3- $\mu$ m radiances, (d) IFF 13.3- $\mu$ m radiances from AIRS, (e) (real minus pseudochannel) 13.3- $\mu$ m radiances, (f) (real minus AIRS) 13.3- $\mu$ m radiances. For this scene, the pseudo-channel approach has a **RMSE of 0.015 W m<sup>-1</sup> str<sup>-1</sup> \mum<sup>-1</sup> (or about** 0.2 K) for all MODIS pixels.

**Figure 4:** (a) The 13.3- $\mu$ m image over a selected region of the south China Sea highlighted in Figure 3a. Note that cold clouds are dark while warm targets are gray/white. (b) the radiance differences between the MODIS measured and pseudo-channel 13.3- $\mu$ m data, (c) the radiance differences between the real and IFF 13.3- $\mu$ m data. Note that the IFF overlays data only from the AIRS footprint, which leaves gaps in spatial coverage.

![](_page_0_Picture_24.jpeg)