

NPP/VIIRS: Status

Jim Gleason, Jim Butler, N. Christina Hsu Project Scientists

Contributions from:

Hassan Oudrari and

Government VIIRS Data Analysis Working Group

NASA, NOAA/IPO, Aerospace, MIT/Lincoln, Wisconsin

37 members, 20 NASA, 13 Aerospace, 4 MIT LL (29 East Coast, 6 West Coast, 2 Wisconsin)

Launch Date: October 25, 2011

When we last met: VIIRS in Clean Room with NPP No CrIS VIIRS





NPP Status:



- CrIS Fourier Transform Spectrometer for IR Temperature and Moisture sounding
- ATMS Microwave sounding radiometer
- OMPS Total Ozone Mapping and Ozone Profile measurements



CERES Earth Radiation Budget measurements

Completed Environmental Testing; Shock, Acoustics, Vibration, EMI/EMC, Thermal Vacuum



Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite

Description

- <u>Purpose</u>: Global observations of land, ocean, & atmosphere parameters at high temporal resolution (~ daily)
- <u>Predecessor Instruments:</u> AVHRR, OLS, MODIS, SeaWiFS
- <u>Approach</u>: Multi-spectral scanning radiometer (22 bands between 0.4 μm and 12 μm) 12-bit quantization
- Swath width: 3000 km

<u>Status</u>

- Completed comprehensive performance monitoring testing during TV testing.
- Performance is nominal
- Preparing for Launch





•

Status of VIIRS F1 Performance Testing

 VIIRS F1 testing program completed all planned testing phases, and has provided test data to support 141 sensor performance requirements:

VIIRS Testing Phases								
Ambient Testing Complete:	06/20/07 – 11/30/07							
Sensor TVAC Testing Complete:	05/03/09 – 08/23/09							
Spacecraft TVAC Testing Complete:	03/10/11 – 04/25/11							

- NASA team has completed extensive test data analysis and VIIRS F1 performance requirement verification:
 - VIIRS testing program was comprehensive and provided necessary test data to characterize VIIRS performance, and to establish a good baseline for on-orbit operations.
- All performance waivers have been evaluated by NGST and reviewed by NASA team
 - Most waivers have small to negligible EDR performance impacts
 - Algorithm revisions and/or changes to Cal/Val tasks were added to support waivers



VIIRS F1 Reflective Bands: Radiometric Performance

Meets all Requirements for: Signal to Noise Ratio, Dynamic Range, Linearity, Uncertainty, Stability and Polarization

Minor Variances for: **Gain Transition**: Gain transition points are well characterized (VIIRS has dual gain bands)

Uniformity: Potential for striping, Plan for post-launch fix if needed



VIIRS F1 Emissive Bands: Radiometric Performance

Meets all Requirements for: NEdT, Dynamic Range, Gain Transition, Linearity, Uniformity, Absolute Radiometric Difference, and Stability



VIIRS F1 Spatial Performance

Meets Requirements for or only minor non-compliances:

Line Spread Function: Scan and Track DFOV Scan and Track MTF Scan and Track HSR Band-to-Band Registration

Pixel growth to "1.5 km x 1.5 km" at to the edge of scan



VIIRS F1 Spectral Performance

- Largely Meets all Requirements for:
 - Spectral Band Center, Spectral Bandwidth, Extended Bandwidth
 - Minor non-compliances are well characterized. No impact expected.
- Non-Compliances for Integrated Out-of-Band (OOB) Response
 - Many bands did not meant the IOOB requirements, but low impact
 - Multiple spectral testing provided a reliable F1 IOOB characterization
- Spectral Band-to-Band Crosstalk
 - VIIRS did not meet crosstalk requirements, which are much more strict than heritage values (e.g. MODIS)
 - Optical cross-talk issue is well known (IFA defects), and intensively studied by both contractor and Government teams, using characterization data from testing performed at IFA and sensor levels.
 - Impact analysis has shown Ocean and Aerosol EDRs products sensitive to this crosstalk effect. A mitigation plan was established for on-orbit operations in the case of these 2 EDRs.





Testing Summary

- VIIRS F1 test program is complete and has provided good test data to assess sensor performance.
- All F1 sensor performances has been verified, and noncompliances are expected to have minor effect on NASA science.
- Effort by both Government and Contractor finalized sensor performance to generate VIIRS F1 on-orbit LUTs for SDR algorithm.
- VIIRS measured performance, supported by planned calibration and validation activities is expected to meet NASA science objectives



Maneuvers

- All VIIRS maneuvers have been approved by NPP Project
 - Lunar Rolls and Pitch-up for deep space view and Yaws for diffuser characterization
 - Maneuvers will be done during Intensive Cal/Val
 - Working with NOAA and JPSS to ensure maneuvers part of regular operating baseline



JPSS

- JPSS Transition is ongoing
 - FY10 & FY11 (& FY12??) budgets are a challenge
- Government taking responsibility for IDPS Data Product Performance
 - NOAA NESDIS STAR providing leadership for VIIRS data products
 - Changyong Cao for VIIRS SDR
 - Ivan Csiszar for VIIRS EDRs
 - Existing Gov't funded cal/val activities are continuing.



Questions





Maneuver #1 – Roll -25 degrees

- <u>Roll Maneuver (-X)</u> up to –25 degrees on the dark side of the orbit, and maintaining the offset for up to 5 minutes.
- Spacecraft baseline requirement : YES



Science Rationale / Benefit Meets needs of VIIRS Lunar Roll maneuver #8

ADCS / Thermal Assessment

Reference : SER 3257-THR248 (Thermal model V72)

SRS analysis accesses compliance to all thermal requirements of all spacecraft components and instrument interfaces throughout these maneuvers for Nominal Operation Science Mode (instruments powered on with doors open) as well as SRS Commissioning Maneuver Checkout (instruments powered off with doors closed). Eight individual hot and cold cases for bounding beta angles 12° and 34° were run; four in each mode.

- As part of spacecraft ADCS commissioning, BATC will demonstrate this maneuver
- SRS Compliance if performed in eclipse, S/ C –Y side toward earth
- Orientation modeled and all thermal parameters within allowable limits based on analysis



Maneuver #2 – Yaw +/-25 degrees

- <u>Yaw Maneuver (+/-Z)</u>: yaw offset pointing up to 25 degrees, and maintaining the offset for up to 15 minutes at any point in the orbit.
- Spacecraft baseline requirement : YES





-25° Yaw, Eclipse Side +25° Yaw, Eclipse Side

Science Rationale / Benefit

Meets needs of VIIRS Solar Diffuser Cal maneuver #9 Meets needs of CERES Sunrise Solar Calibration maneuver #9 and Sunset Solar Calibration maneuver #10

ADCS / Thermal Assessment

Reference : SER 3257-THR248 (Thermal model V72) SRS analysis accesses compliance to all thermal requirements of all spacecraft components and instrument interfaces throughout these maneuvers for Nominal Operation Science Mode (instruments powered on with doors open) as well as SRS Commissioning Maneuver Checkout (instruments powered off with doors closed). Thirty-two individual subsolar and eclipse, hot and cold cases for beta angles 12° & 34°, at +25° and -25°, were run. Sixteen cases for each mode

- As part of spacecraft ADCS commissioning, BATC will demonstrate this maneuver
- SRS Compliance to demonstrate yaw out to +25 deg, occurs in eclipse and daylight
- SRS Compliance to demonstrate yaw out to -25 deg, occurs in eclipse and daylight
- Orientation modeled and all thermal parameters within allowable limits based on analysis



Maneuver #3 – Pitch Over Maneuver

- <u>Pitch Over</u> +Y slew in the opposite direction of the orbital pitch rate over 1/3 of the orbit, starting at terminator crossing from the Science Mode attitude, at the lowest constant rate that will return the Spacecraft to nominal pointing at the end of the slew
- Spacecraft baseline requirement : YES





Nominal, Dusk Terminator





Pitch 270°

Nominal, Dawn Terminator

Science Rationale / Benefit

Meets VIIRS, ATMS and CERES needs for view of deep space maneuver #6

ADCS / Thermal Assessment

Reference : SER 3257-THR248 (Thermal model V72) **SRS analysis accesses compliance to all thermal requirements** of all spacecraft components and instrument interfaces throughout these maneuvers for Nominal Operation Science Mode (instruments powered on with doors open) as well as SRS Commissioning Maneuver Checkout (instruments powered off with doors closed). Eight individual hot and cold cases for beta angles 12° & 34° were run. Four cases for each mode

- As part of spacecraft ADCS commissioning, BATC will demonstrate this maneuver
- SRS Compliance to demonstrate one back flip in eclipse,
- Orientation modeled and all thermal parameters within allowable limits based on analysis



Maneuver #6 – Pitch Maneuver

- VIIRS, CERES, ATMS Pitch Maneuver (+Y) : slew • in the opposite direction of the orbital pitch rate over 1/3 of the orbit, starting at terminator crossing from the Science Mode attitude, at the lowest constant rate that will return the Spacecraft to nominal pointing at the end of the slew
- Spacecraft baseline requirement : Yes ٠





Pitch 90°

Nominal, Dusk Terminator



Pitch 180°

Pitch 270°

Nominal, Dawn Terminator

Science Rationale / Benefit

Frequency/Dwell : Twice separate by 1 orbit in the absence of the moon

Heritage : NOAA 14 at EOL; Terra after 2 years

Science Improvement :

- VIIRS 0.5-1% Asymmetry correction
- ATMS 1-3% Scan Bias Correction due to side lobe contributions based on both ATMS rolls (#4/#5) and the pitch over maneuver (#6)
- CERES Reduces Offset uncertainty from 50 to 12 % (4:1)

ADCS / Thermal Assessment

ADCS Reference: SER 3257-ACS339 Thermal Reference : SER 3257-THR250 All bus components and instrument interfaces are compliant and within their flight allowable limits throughout each of calibration maneuvers with instruments powered on and doors open.

Prior to the pitch maneuver, the spacecraft remained in its nominal science mode orbit. Four individual hot and cold cases for beta angles 12° & 34° were run, where instruments are powered on with doors open.

- This maneuver was accepted but will be limited to only one pitch maneuver. It will be performed between L+3 and L+6 months post launch. A revised thermal analysis is a prerequisite using on orbit thermal data.
- Extended view of deep space for the calibration of potential scan asymmetry. Same as VIIRS and CERES Pitch maneuvers, hence only one consolidated maneuver is needed, but simulated twice, separated by one orbit (as required for ATMS).
- Risk of VIIRS / CrIS crvo temperatures rise above set points or reverse bias on VIIRS cooler stages for low beta angles. Possible loss of emissive band

WIRS Performance Evaluation based on Spacecraft TVAC Testing

- NASA/NICST team provided an independent verification of the VIIRS performance measured during NPP TVAC testing.
- NASA/NICST team received and processed all four VIIRS CPT test data, from Cold-1, Hot-1, Cold-4 and Hot-4 plateaus. CPT testing included:

VIIRS Performance Evaluation based on Spacecraft TVAC Testing										
Performance	Description	Risk								
Gain and SNR	FPI source is used to illuminate VIIRS sensor inside the TVAC chamber at Ball. Gain values derived for VIIRS bands are within 10% of sensor level gains. SNR meet specification, but lower than sensor level testing (Source issue).	Low								
OBC Warm-up/Cool-down	OBC operations meet spec for range and uniformity. Gain within 1.2% of sensor level testing	Low								
Electronics Self-Test	In agreement with sensor level testing	Low								
Noise	In agreement with sensor level testing	Low								
SDSM Checkout	SDSM functionality verified (mirro rotating normally)	Low								
Trending of gain and noise	No anomalies observed during the self compatibility testing	Low								

- NASA/NICST team released about seventeen (17) reports and summaries over 43 days of VIIRS TVAC testing, describing preliminary results of the test data analysis.
- NASA/NICST verified that VIIRS data collected and analyzed are of good quality and sufficient to verify sensor performance.
- NASA/NICST team summaries are in agreement with the contractor's findings, that the sensor performance is as expected, and no specific concern or issue was identified



VIIRS F1 Band-to-Band Registration Performance

Worst BBR @ Nominal Perf Plateau

-		<	vvors	BBK	= Min	mum	12 or	24 DL	AK (de	tecto	r-to-di	etecto	r co-r	egistr	ation	pain	stor	HAM	A and	B SIC	pes)	>
Band	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	M9	M10	M11	M12	M13	M14	M15	M16A	M168	11	12	13	14	15
M1		0.96	0.95	0.97	0.89	0.87	0.91	0.84	0.83	0.95	0.81	0.89	0.90	0.86	0.90	0.92	0.90	0.94	0.93	0.91	0.93	0.89
M2	0		0.95	0.97	0.89	0.87	0.91	0.84	0.83	0.95	0.81	0.92	0.92	0.86	0.89	0.92	0.90	0.94	0.93	0.91	0.93	0.89
M3	0	0		0.98	0.94	0.91	0.96	0.89	0.87	0.96	0.85	0.89	0.90	0.91	0.94	0.95	0.94	0.99	0.97	0.95	0.97	0.94
M4	0	0	0		0.92	0.9	0.94	0.87	0.86	0.97	0.84	0.89	0.90	0.89	0.92	0.95	0.93	0.98	0.96	0.94	0.96	0.92
M5	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.97	0.97	0.95	0.93	0.93	0.90	0.86	0.85	0.96	0.96	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.96	0.98	0.95	0.93
M6	0	0	0	0	0		0.95	0.97	0.95	0.91	0.92	0.84	0.83	0.97	0.94	0.89	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.96	0.93	0.91
M7	0	0	0	0	0	0		0.92	0.91	0.95	0.88	0.87	0.88	0.94	0.96	0.93	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.98	0.97	0.95
M8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0.96	0.89	0.93	0.84	0.82	0.95	0.91	0.88	0.91	0.89	0.91	0.93	0.91	0.89
M9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0.87	0.96	0.82	0.79	0.93	0.90	0.85	0.88	0.88	0.89	0.92	0.89	0.88
M10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0.84	0.90	0.89	0.89	0.92	0.95	0.93	0.97	0.96	0.95	0.97	0.91
M11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0.82	0.78	0.90	0.87	0.83	0.86	0.86	0.87	0.89	0.87	0.85
M12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0.92	0.87	0.90	0.91	0.90	0.89	0.88	0.87	0.90	0.90
M13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0		0.83	0.86	0.88	0.86	0.90	0.89	0.87	0.89	0.85
M14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0.96	0.92	0.95	0.91	0.92	0.94	0.92	0.94
M15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.95	0.97	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.94	0.97
M164	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.97	0.95	0.94	0.92	0.96	0.95
M168	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	0.95	0.98	0.95	0.95	0.97
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	0.96	0.91	0.96	0.8
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.94	0.96	0.8
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.34	0.93	0.8
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.8
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.02
19	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U		

• Lower-left = # of Det out of Spec, upper-right = test results.

• Worst BBR meets Spec in Agg1 zone (+/-56.28,+/-44.86 deg) with bow-tie deletion, except 1 det pair M13 vs M9. BBR in Agg2 (+/-44.86, +/-31.72) and Agg3 (-31.72, +31.72 Deg) is better.

• The root cause of the out of Spec BBR pair (M13 vs M9) is primarily due to effective focal length (EFL) to scan rate Lin et. al. 20091201 Science Data Segment / NICSE 21

• Yellow cells: BBR with <= 5% margin in the ground tests which may be out-of-Spec when in orbit with effects of S/C jitter etc.



VIIRS F1 Polarization Performance

Polarizarion Requirements

Band	Center Wavelength (mm)	Maximum Polarization Sensitivity
M1	0.412	3%
M2	0.445	2.50%
MB	0.488	2.50%
M4	0.555	2.50%
I1	0.64	2.50%
M5	0.672	2.50%
M6	0.746	2.50%
I2	0.865	3%
M7	0.865	3%



All Bands Meet Polarization Requirements



VIIRS Radiometric Requirements: Emissive Bands

Band	^λ c (μm)		Scene Temperature								
		190K	230K	270K	310K	340K					
M12	3.7	N/A	7.00 %	0.70 %	0.70%	0.70 %					
M13	4.05	N/A	5.70 %	0.70 %	0.70%	0.70 %					
M14	8.55	12.30 %	2.40 %	0.60%	0.40%	0.50 %					
M15	10.763	2.10 %	0.60%	0.40 %	0.40%	0.40 %					
M16	12.013	1.60 %	0.60%	0.4 0%	0.40%	0.40 %					

TABLE 17: Absolute radiometric calibration uncertainty of spectral radiance for moderate resolution emissive bands

All Reflective Bands Meet Radiometric Requirements With Margins



VIIRS F1 Performance Status

Based on sensor level TV testing

Thermal Emissive Band (TEB) Performance					
Expected Risk to EDRs					
Low					
Low					
Low					
2011					
Low					

٠

Spatial Performance										
Performance	Requirement Verification	Expected Risk to								
	Scan DFOV is compliant for majority of M-bands and I-bands.	Low								
	Track IFOV is compliant for all M-Bands and I-bands, Except M12 Det #1.	Low								
Line Enroyd Function (LEF)	Scan MTF is compliant for majority of M-bands	Low								
Line Spread Function (LSF)	Track MTF is compliant for all M-Bands.	Low								
	Scan HSR is compliant for majority of I-bands	Low								
	Track HSR iscompliant for all I-bands	Low								
Band to Band Registration (BBR)	BBR is compliant for all band pairs, except few cases	Low								
Pointing Stability	Pointing stability is compliant, except daily stability in track direction	Low								

Spectral RSR Performance

Performance	Requirement Verification	Expected Risk to EDRs
Spectral Band Center	Only M4 and M16 are slightly not meeting specification	Low
Spectral Bandwidth	Only M2, M8 and M14 slightly not compliant. M16A Detectors #5-7 also slightly not compliant	Low
Extended Bandwidth	Only I5 is slightly not compliant for the upper 1% limit	Low
Integrated Out-Of-Band	Many bands are not compliant. However, OOB is well characterized	Medium-High
Band to Band Crosstalk	Many bands are not compliant. However, crosstalk characterization will support on-orbit mitigation.	Medium-High

- VIIRS F1 test program is complete and has provided good test data to assess sensor performance.
 - Sensor performance exceeds requirements in most cases, and non compliances were addressed in waiver packages and impact assessments
- NASA performance assessments are beginning of life (BOL). Modeling of EOL performances are available in Raytheon Performance Verification Reports (PVRs).
 - Government team finalized VIIRS F1 Performance assessments to generate onorbit LUTs for SDR algorithm



VIIRS F1 Bands and SNR/NEDT

	_					Spec	ification					
		Band Driving EDR(s) No.		Spectral Range (um)	Horiz Sample Interval (km) (track x Scan) Nadir End of Scan		Band Gain	Ltyp or Ttyp (Spec)	Lmax or Tmax	SNR or NEdT (K)	Measured SNR or NE dT (K)	SNR Margin (%)
		M1	Ocean Color Aerosol	0.402 - 0.422	0.742 x 0.259	1.60 x 1.58	High Low	44.9 155	135 615	352 316	723 1327	105% 320%
		M2	Ocean Color Aerosol	0.436 - 0.454	0.742 x 0.259	1.60 x 1.58	High Low	40 146	127 687	380 409	576 1076	51.5% 163%
		M3	Ocean Color Aerosol	0.478 - 0.498	0.742 x 0.259	1.60 x 1.58	High Low	32 123	107 702	416 414	658 1055	58.2% 155%
s	NIR	M4	Ocean Color Aerosol	0.545 - 0.565	0.742 x 0.259	1.60 x 1.58	High Low	21 90	78 667	362 315	558 882	54.1% 180%
pue	Vis	I1	Imagery EDR	0.600 - 0.680	0.371 x 0.387	0.80 x 0.789	Single	22	718	119	265	122.7%
/e Ba		M5	Ocean Color Aerosol	0.662 - 0.682	0.742 x 0.259	1.60 x 1.58	High Low	10 68	59 651	242 360	360 847	49% 135%
cti	ľ	M6	Atmosph. Correct.	0.739 - 0.754	0.742 x 0.776	1.60 x 1.58	Single	9.6	41	199	394	98.0%
sfle	ľ	12	ND VI	0.846 - 0.885	0.371 x 0.387	0.80 x 0.789	Single	25	349	150	299	99.3%
Re		М7	Ocean Color Aerosol	0.846 - 0.885	0.742 x 0.259	1.60 x 1.58	High Low	6.4 33.4	29 349	215 340	545 899	154% 164%
		M8	Cloud Particle Size	1.230 - 1.250	0.742 x 0.776	1.60 x 1.58	Single	5.4	165	74	349	371.6%
	ľ	M9	Cirrius/Cloud Cover	1.371 - 1.386	0.742 x 0.776	1.60 x 1.58	Single	6	77.1	83	247	197.6%
		13	Binary Snow Map	1.580 - 1.640	0.371 x 0.387	0.80 x 0.789	Single	7.3	72.5	6	165	2650.0%
		M10	Snow Fraction	1.580 - 1.640	0.742 x 0.776	1.60 x 1.58	Single	7.3	71.2	342	695	103.2%
	NN.	M11	Clouds	2.225 - 2.275	0.742 x 0.776	1.60 x 1.58	Single	0.12	31.8	10	18	80.0%
	Ś	14	Imagery Clouds	3.550 - 3.930	0.371 x 0.387	0.80 x 0.789	Single	270	353	2.5	0.4	84.0%
spu		M12	SST	3.660 - 3.840	0.742 x 0.776	1.60 x 1.58	Single	270	353	0.396	0.12	69.7 %
e Bar		M13	SST Fires	3.973 - 4.128	0.742 x 0.259	1.60 x 1.58	High Low	300 380	343 634	0.107 0.423	0.044 	59%
Š.		M14	Cloud Top Properties	8.400 - 8.700	0.742 x 0.776	1.60 x 1.58	Single	270	336	0.091	0.054	40.7%
lis	Ц	M15	SST	10.263 - 11.263	0.742 x 0.776	1.60 x 1.58	Single	300	343	0.07	0.028	60.0%
En	2	15	Cloud Imagery	10.500 - 12.400	0.371 x 0.387	0.80 x 0.789	Single	210	340	1.5	0.41	72.7%
		M16	SST	11.538 - 12.488	0.742 x 0.776	1.60 x 1.58	Single	300	340	0.072	0.036	50.0%

All Bands Meet SNR Requirements With Margin



TABLE 5. VIIRS Spectral band optical requirements

Band	Center Wavelength (nm)	Tolerance on Center Wavelength (± nm)	Bandwidth (nm)	Tolerance on Bandwidth (± nm)	OOB Integration Limits (lower, upper) (nm)	Maximum Integrated OOB Response (%)	Character- ization Uncertainty (nm)
M1	412	2	20	2	≥376, ≤444	1.0	1
M2	445	3	18	2	≥417, ≤473	1.0	1
M3	488	4	20	3	≥455, ≤521	0.7	1
M4	555	4	20	3	≥523, ≤589	0.7	1
M5	672	5	20	3	≥638,≤706	0.7	1
M6	746	2	15	2	≥721, ≤771	0.8	1
M7	865	8	39	5	≥801, ≤929	0.7	1.3
M8	1240	5	20	4	≥1205, ≤1275	0.8	1
M9	1378	4	15	3	≥1351, ≤1405	1.0	1
M10	1610	14	60	9	≥1509, ≤1709	0.7	2.3
M11	2250	13	50	6	≥2167, ≤2333	1.0	1.9
M12	3700	32	180	20	≥3410, ≤3990	1.1	3.7
M13	4050	34	155	20	≥3790, ≤4310	1.3	3
M14	8550	70	300	40	≥8050, ≤9050	0.9	11
M15	10763	113	1000	100	≥9700, ≤11740	0.4	10.8
M16	12013	88	950	50	≥11060, ≤13050	0.4	6
DNB	700	14	400	20	≥470, ≤960	0.1	1
11	640	6	80	6	≥565, ≤715	0.5	1
12	865	8	39	5	≥802, ≤928	0.7	1.3
13	1610	14	60	9	≥1509, ≤1709 0.7		2.3
l4	3740	40	380	30	≥3340, ≤4140	0.5	3.7
15	11450	125	1900	100	≥9900, ≤12900	0.4	20

[1] The values given under "OOB Integration Limits" are the specified limits on the 1% relative response points.

[2] The OOB integration limits will be the 1% response points determined during sensor characterization.



TABLE 12.Dynamic range requirementsfor VIRS Sensor reflective bands

				Single Gain			Dual Gain				
				High	Gain	Low Gain					
Band	Center Wavelength (nm)	Gain Type	Lmin	Lmax	Lmin	Lmax	Lmin	Lmax			
M1	412	Dual	-	-	30	135	135	615			
M2	445	Dual	-	-	26	127	127	687			
M3	488	Dual	-	-	22	107	107	702			
M4	555	Dual	-	-	12	78	78	667			
M5	672	Dual	-	-	8.6	59	59	651			
M6	746	Single	5.3	41.0	-	-	-	-			
M7	865	Dual	-	-	3.4	29	29	349			
M8	1240	Single	3.5	164.9	-	-	-	-			
M9	1378	Single	0.6	77.1	-	-	-	-			
M10	1610	Single	1.2	71.2	-	-	-	-			
M11	2250	Single	0.12	31.8	-	-	-	-			
11	640	Single	5	718	-	-	-	-			
12	865	Single	10.3	349	-	-	-	-			
13	1610	Single	1.2	72.5	-	-	-	-			

Spectral radiance (Lmin and Lmax) has units of watt m-2 sr-1 µm-1.



TABLE 13. Dynamic range requirementsVIIRS Sensor emissive bands

		Single	e Gain	Dual Gain					
					High	Gain	Low	Gain	
Band	Center Wavelength (nm)	Gain Type	Tmin	Tmax	Tmin	Tmax	Tmin	Tmax	
M12	3700	Single	230	353	-	-	-	-	
M13	4050	Dual	-	-	230	343	343	634	
M14	8550	Single	190	336	-	-	-	-	
M15	10763	Single	190	343	-	-	-	-	
M16	12013	Single	190	340	-	-	-	-	
4	3740	Single	210	353	-	-	-	-	
15	11450	Single	190	340	-	-	-	-	



TABLE 14: Sensitivity requirements forVIIRS Sensor reflective bands

			Single Gain Dual Gain					
					High G	ain	Low Gain	
Band	Center Wavelength (nm)	Gain Type	Ltyp	SNR	Ltyp	SNR	Ltyp	SNR
M1	412	Dual	-	-	44.9	352	155	316
M2	445	Dual	-	-	40	380	146	409
M3	488	Dual	-	-	32	416	123	414
M4	555	Dual	-	-	21	362	90	315
M5	672	Dual	-	-	10	242	68	360
M6	746	Single	9.6	199	-	-	-	-
M7	865	Dual	-	-	6.4	215	33.4	340
M8	1240	Single	5.4	74	-	-	-	-
M9	1378	Single	6	83	-	-	-	-
M10	1610	Single	7.3	342	-	-	-	-
M11	2250	Single	0.12	10	-	-	-	-
11	640	Single	22	119	-	-	-	_
12	865	Single	25	150	-	-	-	-
13	1610	Single	7.3	6	-	-	-	-

Notes:

The units of spectral radiance for Ltyp are watt m-2 sr-1 µm-1.

The SNR column shows the minimum required (worst-case) SNR that applies at the end-of-scan.



TABLE 15:Sensitivity requirementsfor VIIRS Sensor emissive bands

			Sing	le Gain	Dual Gain			
					High Gain		Low Gain	
Band	Center Wavelength (nm)	Gain Type	Ttyp	NEdT	Ttyp	NEdT	Ttyp	NEdT
M12	3700	Single	270	0.396	-	-	-	-
M13	4050	Dual	-	-	300	0.107	380	0.423
M14	8550	Single	270	0.091	-	-	-	-
M15	10763	Single	300	0.070	-	-	-	-
M16	12013	Single	300	0.072	-	-	-	-
4	3740	Single	270	2.500	-	-	-	-
15	11450	Single	210	1.500	-	-	-	-



Table # 17/18: Emissive Bands Radiometric Calibration Accuracy Requirements

			Scen	ie Tempera	ture	
Band	λ _c (μm)	190K	230K	270K	310K	340K
M12	3.7	N.A.	7.0 %	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%
M13	4.05	N.A.	5.7 %	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%
M14	8.55	12.3 %	2.4 %	0.6%	0.4%	0.5%
M15	10.763	2.1 %	0.6%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
M16	12.013	1.6%	0.6%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%

Band	Center Wavelength (nm)	Calibration Uncertainty
I4	3740	5.0%
15	11450	2.5%

Equivalent or Better Performance Was Achieved on MODIS



VIIRS F1 Spectral Performance

Meets all Requirements for: Spectral Band Center, Spectral Bandwidth, Extended Bandwidth

Significant Non-Compliance for: Integrated Out-of-Band Response

Band	Center Wavelength (nm)	Bandwidth (nm)	Requirement Maximum Integrated OOB Response (%)	Measured Maximum Integrated OOB Response (%)
M1	412	20	1.0	3.7
M3	488	20	0.7	1.1
M4	555	20	0.7	4.3
M5	672	20	0.7	3.2
M6	746	15	0.8	1.8

Notes: Smaller non-compliances for emissive bands Well characterized Difficult to separate from Cross-talk effects



VIIRS Incorporates Modular Sensor Approach





VIIRS F1 Sensor Block Diagram



-34



VIIRS Bands and Products

VIIRS 22 Bands: 16 M-Band, 5 I-Band and 1 DNB VIIRS MODIS Substitute

HSR 1000 1000 500
1000 1000 500
1000 1000 500
1000 500
500
1000
500 1000
250
1000 1000
1000
250
1000 250
500
1000
500
500
500
1000
1000
1000 1000
1000
0 1000
0 1000 0 1000
0 1000
are

VIIRS 24 EDRs Land, Ocean, Cloud, Snow

Name of Product	Group	Туре
Imagery *	Imagery	EDR
Precipitable Water	Atmosphere	EDR
Suspended Matter	Atmosphere	EDR
Aerosol Optical Thickness	Aerosol	EDR
Aerosol Particle Size	Aerosol	EDR
Cloud Base Height	Cloud	EDR
Cloud Cover/Layers	Cloud	EDR
Cloud Effective Particle Size	Cloud	EDR
Cloud Optical Thickness/Transmittance	Cloud	EDR
Cloud Top Height	Cloud	EDR
Cloud Top Pressure	Cloud	EDR
Cloud Top Temperature	Cloud	EDR
Active Fires	Land	Application
Albedo (Surface)	Land	EDR
Land Surface Temperature	Land	EDR
Soil Moisture	Land	EDR
Surface Type	Land	EDR
Vegetation Index	Land	EDR
Sea Surface Temperature *	Ocean	EDR
Ocean Color and Chlorophyll	Ocean	EDR
Net Heat Flux	Ocean	EDR
Sea Ice Characterization	Snow and Ice	EDR
Ice Surface Temperature	Snow and Ice	EDR
Snow Cover and Depth	Snow and Ice	EDR

* Product is a Key Performance Parameter (KPP)

35

shown for comparison



VIIRS Spectral, Spatial, & Radiometric Attributes

Band No. Wave- length (Hm) Indiz Strate X Crosstack) (Hm) Driving EDRs Radi- ance Range Lyp or Typ C(imensionless) or Ne^1r (Kelvins) M1 0.412 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Lip or High 352 441 25% M2 0.445 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 44.9 352 441 25% M3 0.488 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 40 380 524 38% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 32 416 542 30% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Lip 90 315 638 102% M5 0.672 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 10 242 286 23% M6 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 10 242						Lleviz Comple Interval			Dedi		Signal to Noise Ratio		
No. length (Wm) (Rn Downtrack x Crossback) Diving EDRs ander Range Typ Required or NE ^A T (Kelvins) M1 0.412 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 44.9 352 441 25% M2 0.445 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 40 380 524 38% M2 0.445 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 40 380 524 38% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 32 416 542 30% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 31 362 455 26% M5 0.640 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Imagery Xingle 22 119 146 23% M5 0.672 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 10 242 298 23% <t< td=""><td></td><td colspan="2" rowspan="3"></td><td>Band</td><td>Wave-</td><td>Horiz Sam</td><td>pie interval</td><td></td><td>Radi-</td><td>Ltyp or</td><td>(d</td><td>limensionles</td><td>ss)</td></t<>				Band	Wave-	Horiz Sam	pie interval		Radi-	Ltyp or	(d	limensionles	ss)
M1 Nadir End of Scan Range Required Predicted Margin M1 0.412 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 44.9 352 441 25% M2 0.445 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 40 380 524 38% M2 0.445 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 40 380 524 38% M3 0.488 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 32 416 542 30% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 32 416 542 30% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 315 638 102% M5 0.672 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 10 242 298 23% M6 0.746 0.742 x 0.776				No.	length		(x Crosstrack)	Driving EDRS	ance	Ttyp	or	NE $^{\Delta}$ T (Kelv	ins)
M1 0.412 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 44.9 352 441 25% M2 0.445 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 40 380 6524 38% M3 0.488 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 40 9926 126% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 32 416 542 30% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 21 362 455 26% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 21 362 455 26% M5 0.672 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Aerosols High 90 315 638 102% M6 0.746 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 10 242 298 23%					(^µ m)	Nadir	End of Scan		Range		Required	Predicted	Margin
Ver - - Aerosols High 155 316 807 155% M2 0.445 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 40 380 524 38% M3 0.488 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 32 416 542 30% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 32 416 542 30% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 21 382 455 28% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 21 382 455 28% M5 0.672 0.742 x 0.759 1.60 x 1.58 Atmospheric Corrin Single 22 119 146 23% M6 0.746 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 6.4 215 388 81% M7	١ſ			M1	0.412	0.742 x 0.259	1.60 x 1.58	Ocean Color	Low	44.9	352	441	25%
M2 0.445 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low High 40 380 524 38% M3 0.488 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 32 416 542 30% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 32 416 542 30% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 21 362 455 26% M5 0.672 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 10 242 298 23% M6 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Atmospheric Corr'n Single 9.6 199 239 20% M7 0.865 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 NDVI Single 5.4 74 98 32% M6 1.24 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 5.4 74								Aerosols	High	155	316	807	155%
Ver M3 0.488 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 32 416 542 30% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 32 416 542 30% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 21 362 455 26% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 21 362 455 26% M5 0.672 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 10 242 298 23% M6 0.746 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Atmospheric Corrh Single 25 150 225 50% M7 0.865 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 6.4 215 388 81% M9 1.378 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single				M2	0.445	0.742 x 0.259	1.60 x 1.58	Ocean Color	Low	40	380	524	38%
VAL Washing 0.488 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 32 416 542 30% M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 21 362 455 26% M4 0.655 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 21 362 455 26% M5 0.672 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 10 242 298 23% M6 0.746 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 10 242 298 23% M6 0.746 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Atmospheric Corrin Single 9.6 199 239 20% M7 0.865 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 NDVI Single 25 150 225 50% M6 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 5.4 74 98								Aerosols	High	146	409	926	126%
Vert Mat 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 21 362 455 26% Mat 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 21 362 455 26% Mat 0.640 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Imagery Single 22 119 146 23% Mat 0.672 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 10 242 298 23% Mat 0.746 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Atmospheric Cor'n Single 9.6 199 239 20% Mat 0.865 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 NDVI Single 25 150 225 50% Mat 0.865 0.742 x 0.729 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 6.4 215 388 81% Mat 1.24 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 5.4 74			es	M3	0.488	0.742 x 0.259	1.60 x 1.58	Ocean Color	Low	32	416	542	30%
L M4 0.555 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low High 21 362 455 26% M5 0.672 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 10 242 298 23% M6 0.672 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 10 242 298 23% M6 0.746 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Atmospheric Corrin Single Single 25 150 225 50% M7 0.865 0.742 x 0.729 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 6.4 215 388 81% CCD DNB 0.7 0.742 x 0.729 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 6.4 215 388 81% M7 0.865 0.7742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 5.4 74 98 32% M8 1.24 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Si		≮	iod					Aerosols	High	123	414	730	76%
Line Aerosols High 90 315 638 102% In 0.640 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Imagery Single 22 119 146 23% In 0.672 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 10 242 298 23% M6 0.746 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Atmospheric Corrin Single 9.6 199 239 20% I2 0.865 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 NDVI Single 25 150 225 50% M7 0.865 0.742 x 0.742 0.742 x 0.742 Inagery Var. 6.70E-05 6 5.7 -5% CCD DNB 0.7 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 5.4 74 98 32% 13 1.61 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Binary Snow Map Single 7.3 6.0 97 1523% M9				M4	0.555	0.742 x 0.259	1.60 x 1.58	Ocean Color	Low	21	362	455	26%
Image Single 22 119 146 23% Image M5 0.672 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 10 242 298 23% M6 0.746 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Acrosols High 68 360 522 45% M6 0.746 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Atmospheric Corr'n Single 9.6 199 239 20% 12 0.865 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 NDVI Single 25 150 225 50% M7 0.865 0.742 x 0.729 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 6.4 215 388 81% CCD DNB 0.7 0.742 x 0.742 0.742 x 0.742 Imagery Var. 6.70E-05 6 5.7 -5% M8 1.24 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 5.4 74 98 32% M10 1.61 </td <td></td> <td>Ξ</td> <td>E</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Aerosols</td> <td>High</td> <td>90</td> <td>315</td> <td>638</td> <td>102%</td>		Ξ	E					Aerosols	High	90	315	638	102%
S M5 0.672 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low High 10 242 298 23% 25% M6 0.746 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Atmospheric Corrin Single 9.6 199 239 20% I2 0.865 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 NDVI Single 25 150 225 50% M7 0.865 0.742 x 0.729 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low 6.4 215 388 81% CCD DNB 0.7 0.742 x 0.742 0.742 x 0.742 Imagery Var. 6.70E-05 6 5.7 -5% M8 1.24 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 5.4 74 98 32% M9 1.378 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 7.3 6.0 97 1523% M10 1.61 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Binary Snow Map S		S/	- L	11	0.640	0.371 x 0.387	0.80 x 0.789	Imagery	Single	22	119	146	23%
K Aerosols High 68 360 522 45% M6 0.746 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Atmospheric Corr'n Single 9.6 199 239 20% I2 0.865 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 NDVI Single 25 150 225 50% M7 0.865 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 6.4 215 388 81% Aerosols High 3.4 340 494 45% CCD DNB 0.7 0.742 x 0.742 0.742 x 0.742 Imagery Var. 6.70E-05 6 5.7 -5% M8 1.24 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cirrus/Cloud Cover Single 5.4 74 98 32% M10 1.61 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 7.3 6.0 97 1523% M11 2.25 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Snow Fraction <td></td> <td>\geq</td> <td>ii S</td> <td>M5</td> <td>0.672</td> <td>0.742 x 0.259</td> <td>1.60 x 1.58</td> <td>Ocean Color</td> <td>Low</td> <td>10</td> <td>242</td> <td>298</td> <td>23%</td>		\geq	ii S	M5	0.672	0.742 x 0.259	1.60 x 1.58	Ocean Color	Low	10	242	298	23%
M6 0.746 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Atmospheric Corr'n Single 9.6 199 239 20% I2 0.865 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 NDVI Single 25 150 225 50% M7 0.865 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 6.4 215 388 81% Aerosols High 33.4 340 494 45% CCD DNB 0.7 0.742 x 0.742 0.742 x 0.742 Imagery Var. 6.70E-05 6 5.7 -5% M8 1.24 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 5.4 74 98 32% M9 1.378 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Cover Single 7.3 6.0 97 1523% M10 1.61 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Clouds Single 7.3 342 439 28% M11 2.25 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>ŝ</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Aerosols</td><td>High</td><td>68</td><td>360</td><td>522</td><td>45%</td></t<>			ŝ					Aerosols	High	68	360	522	45%
I2 0.865 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 NDVI Single 25 150 225 50% M7 0.865 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Low 6.4 215 388 81% CCD DNB 0.7 0.742 x 0.742 0.742 x 0.742 Imagery Var. 6.70E-05 6 5.7 -5% M8 1.24 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 5.4 74 98 32% M9 1.378 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 6 83 155 88% M9 1.378 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 6 83 155 88% M10 1.61 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Binary Snow Map Single 7.3 6.0 97 1523% M11 2.25 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Clouds Single 0.12 10				M6	0.746	0.742 x 0.776	1.60 x 1.58	Atmospheric Corr'n	Single	9.6	199	239	20%
M7 0.865 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 Ocean Color Aerosols Low High 6.4 215 388 81% CCD DNB 0.7 0.742 x 0.742 0.742 x 0.742 Imagery Var. 6.70E-05 6 5.7 -5% M8 1.24 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 5.4 74 98 32% M9 1.378 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 5.4 74 98 32% M9 1.378 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 6 83 155 88% M10 1.61 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Snow Fraction Single 7.3 342 439 28% M11 2.25 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Snow Fraction Single 270 K 0.396 0.218 82% M11 2.25 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST SST Sou of K<				12	0.865	0.371 x 0.387	0.80 x 0.789	NDVI	Single	25	150	225	50%
CCD DNB 0.7 0.742 x 0.742 0.742 x 0.742 Imagery Var. 6.70E-05 6 5.7 -5% M8 1.24 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 5.4 74 98 32% M9 1.378 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 6 83 155 88% I3 1.61 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Binary Snow Map Single 7.3 6.0 97 1523% M10 1.61 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Snow Fraction Single 7.3 342 439 28% M11 2.25 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Clouds Single 0.12 10 17 66% M11 2.25 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 270 K 0.396 0.218 82% M12 3.70 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 SST Low 300 K 0.107				M7	0.865	0.742 x 0.259	1.60 x 1.58	Ocean Color	Low	6.4	215	388	81%
CCD DNB 0.7 0.742 x 0.742 0.742 x 0.742 Imagery Var. 6.70E-05 6 5.7 -5% M8 1.24 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 5.4 74 98 32% M9 1.378 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 6 83 155 88% I3 1.61 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Binary Snow Map Single 7.3 6.0 97 1523% M10 1.61 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Snow Fraction Single 7.3 342 439 28% M11 2.25 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Clouds Single 0.12 10 17 66% M12 3.70 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 270 K 0.396 0.218 82% M13 4.05 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Low 300 K 0.070								Aerosols	High	33.4	340	494	45%
M8 1.24 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Particle Size Single 5.4 74 98 32% M9 1.378 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cirrus/Cloud Cover Single 6 83 155 88% I3 1.61 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Binary Snow Map Single 7.3 6.0 97 1523% M10 1.61 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Snow Fraction Single 7.3 342 439 28% M11 2.25 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Clouds Single 0.12 10 17 66% I4 3.74 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Imagery Clouds Single 270 K 2.500 0.486 415% M12 3.70 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 270 K 0.396 0.218 82% M13 4.05 0.742 x 0.276 1.60 x 1.58 SST Low 300 K 0.107 0	١L	СС	D	DNB	0.7	0.742 x 0.742	0.742 x 0.742	Imagery	Var.	6.70E-05	6	5.7	-5%
N M9 1.378 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cirrus/Cloud Cover Single 6 83 155 88% I3 1.61 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Binary Snow Map Single 7.3 6.0 97 1523% M10 1.61 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Snow Fraction Single 7.3 342 439 28% M11 2.25 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Clouds Single 0.12 10 17 66% I4 3.74 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Imagery Clouds Single 270 K 2.500 0.486 415% M12 3.70 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Low 300 K 0.107 0.063 69% M13 4.05 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Low 300 K 0.423 0.334 27% M14 8.55 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 300 K 0.070	I٢			M8	1.24	0.742 x 0.776	1.60 x 1.58	Cloud Particle Size	Single	5.4	74	98	32%
Y I3 1.61 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Binary Snow Map Single 7.3 6.0 97 1523% M10 1.61 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Snow Fraction Single 7.3 342 439 28% M11 2.25 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Clouds Single 0.12 10 17 66% I4 3.74 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Imagery Clouds Single 270 K 2.500 0.486 415% M12 3.70 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 270 K 0.396 0.218 82% M13 4.05 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 SST Low 300 K 0.107 0.063 69% M14 8.55 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Top Properties Single 270 K 0.091 0.075 22% M15 10.763 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 300 K <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>M9</td><td>1.378</td><td>0.742 x 0.776</td><td>1.60 x 1.58</td><td>Cirrus/Cloud Cover</td><td>Single</td><td>6</td><td>83</td><td>155</td><td>88%</td></td<>				M9	1.378	0.742 x 0.776	1.60 x 1.58	Cirrus/Cloud Cover	Single	6	83	155	88%
M10 1.61 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Snow Fraction Single 7.3 342 439 28% M11 2.25 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Clouds Single 0.12 10 17 66% I4 3.74 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Imagery Clouds Single 270 K 2.500 0.486 415% M12 3.70 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 270 K 0.396 0.218 82% M13 4.05 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 SST Low 300 K 0.107 0.063 69% M14 8.55 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 270 K 0.091 0.075 22% M14 8.55 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Top Properties Single 200 K 0.070 0.038 85% M15 10.763 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 210 K 1.500 0.789 90% M15 11.450 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789			Ϋ́	13	1.61	0.371 x 0.387	0.80 x 0.789	Binary Snow Map	Single	7.3	6.0	97	1523%
Vision M11 2.25 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Clouds Single 0.12 10 17 66% 14 3.74 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Imagery Clouds Single 270 K 2.500 0.486 415% M12 3.70 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 270 K 0.396 0.218 82% M13 4.05 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 SST Low 300 K 0.107 0.063 69% Fires High 380 K 0.423 0.334 27% M14 8.55 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Top Properties Single 270 K 0.091 0.075 22% M15 10.763 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 300 K 0.070 0.038 85% I5 11.450 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Cloud Imagery Single 210 K 1.500 0.789 90% M16 12.013 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 300 K <		/IR	т) е	M10	1.61	0.742 x 0.776	1.60 x 1.58	Snow Fraction	Single	7.3	342	439	28%
Image Image <th< td=""><td></td><td>≤ </td><td>₽µ</td><td>M11</td><td>2.25</td><td>0.742 x 0.776</td><td>1.60 x 1.58</td><td>Clouds</td><td>Single</td><td>0.12</td><td>10</td><td>17</td><td>66%</td></th<>		≤	₽µ	M11	2.25	0.742 x 0.776	1.60 x 1.58	Clouds	Single	0.12	10	17	66%
H M12 3.70 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 270 K 0.396 0.218 82% M13 4.05 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 SST Low 300 K 0.107 0.063 69% High 380 K 0.423 0.334 27% M14 8.55 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Top Properties Single 270 K 0.091 0.075 22% M15 10.763 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 300 K 0.070 0.038 85% I5 11.450 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Cloud Imagery Single 210 K 1.500 0.789 90% M16 12.013 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 300 K 0.072 0.051 42% 42%		S	g	14	3.74	0.371 x 0.387	0.80 x 0.789	Imagery Clouds	Single	270 K	2.500	0.486	415%
A M13 4.05 0.742 x 0.259 1.60 x 1.58 SST Fires Low High 300 K 380 K 0.107 0.063 0.334 69% 27% M14 8.55 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Top Properties Single 270 K 0.091 0.075 22% M15 10.763 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 300 K 0.070 0.038 85% J 15 11.450 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Cloud Imagery Single 210 K 1.500 0.789 90% M16 12.013 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 300 K 0.072 0.051 42%			H /	M12	3.70	0.742 x 0.776	1.60 x 1.58	SST	Single	270 K	0.396	0.218	82%
M14 8.55 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Top Properties Single 270 K 0.091 0.075 22% M15 10.763 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Top Properties Single 270 K 0.091 0.075 22% M15 10.763 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 300 K 0.070 0.038 85% I5 11.450 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Cloud Imagery Single 210 K 1.500 0.789 90% M16 12.013 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 300 K 0.072 0.051 42%			Ъ	M13	4.05	0.742 x 0.259	1.60 x 1.58	SST	Low	300 K	0.107	0.063	69%
M14 8.55 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 Cloud Top Properties Single 270 K 0.091 0.075 22% M15 10.763 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 300 K 0.070 0.038 85% I5 11.450 0.371 x 0.387 0.80 x 0.789 Cloud Imagery Single 210 K 1.500 0.789 90% M16 12.013 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 300 K 0.072 0.051 42%								Fires	High	380 K	0.423	0.334	27%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Г			M14	8 55	0 742 x 0 776	1.60 x 1.58	Cloud Top Properties	Single	270 K	0 091	0 075	22%
$ = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 15 & 11.450 & 0.371 \times 0.387 & 0.80 \times 0.789 & Cloud Imagery & Single & 210 K & 1.500 & 0.789 & 90\% \\ \hline M16 & 12.013 & 0.742 \times 0.776 & 1.60 \times 1.58 & SST & Single & 300 K & 0.072 & 0.051 & 42\% \\ \hline \end{bmatrix} $		2	$\overline{\mathbf{U}}$	M15	10,763	0.742×0.776	1.60 x 1.58	SST	Single	300 K	0.070	0.038	85%
M16 12.013 0.742 x 0.776 1.60 x 1.58 SST Single 300 K 0.072 0.051 42%		≷∣	T	15	11,450	0.371 x 0.387	0.80 x 0.789	Cloud Imagery	Sinale	210 K	1,500	0.789	90%
			٩	M16	12.013	0.742 x 0.776	1.60 x 1.58	SST	Single	300 K	0.072	0.051	42%



VIIRS F1 Sensor Gov't Activities

- VIIRS F1 testing program is complete and has provided test data to support 141 performance requirements
- NASA team has completed extensive analysis of VIIRS test data
 - Support of sensor test data analysis, and requirement verification
 - Release more than 130 reports and memos just Instrument TV phase
 - Support to Waiver evaluation, including SDR/EDR impact assessment

NICST Data Analysis Satellite TV Summaries:

- NICST team released five (5) Summaries on Friday April 22nd in support of the SC TVAC CTB meeting held on April 23rd. These VIIRS summaries represent NICST's assessments of the overall VIIRS SC TVAC performance testing,
- NICST is planning to develop detailed reports for VIIRS performance assessment based on SC TVAC testing.
- NICST team summaries did not identify any specific concern or issue, and have shown that all VIIRS data collected and analyzed are of good quality and sufficient to verify that sensor performance is as expected.



Team Coordination

NASA

- NPP Instrument Characterization Support Team (NICST)
- NPP Instrument Calibration and Support Element (NICSE)
- MODIS Characterization Support Team (MCST)
- VIIRS Ocean Science Team (VOST)
- University of Wisconsin (UW)
- Project Science Office (PSO)

NOAA

- Aerospace Cooperation
- MIT/LL

Contractors

- Northrop Grumman
- Raytheon SBRS and El-Segundo
- Ball Aerospace