Multi-Sensor Analysis of Global Daytime and Nighttime Urban Heat Islands

Steve Frolking¹, Annemarie Schneider², Jingfeng Xiao¹, Tom Milliman¹, Leah Cheek³, Mark Friedl³

University of New Hampshire, Durham
 University of Wisconsin, Madison
 Boston University







Where is growth occurring? With what effect on urban structure?

Where is growth occurring? With what effect on urban structure? horizontal spread/sprawl



Mexico City; Photo: Pablo Lopez Luz

Where is growth occurring? With what effect on urban structure? horizontal spread/sprawl -or- vertical rise/densification?



Mexico City; Photo: Pablo Lopez Luz



Chongqing, China; Photo : Matthew Niederhauser

Where is growth occurring? With what effect on urban structure? horizontal spread/sprawl -or- vertical rise/densification?



Mexico City; Photo: Pablo Lopez Luz



Chongqing, China; Photo : Matthew Niederhauser

- What are the consequences?
 - 1. energy and resource use, etc.
 - 2. urban heat islands.

Mean July-Sept. SeaWinds Ku-band microwave backscatter in 1999 & 2009



Urban heat islands

<u>Causes – Daytime</u>:

energy balance driven by incoming solar radiation; land surface **albedo** and capacity to **evaporate** water (land cover).

Causes – Nighttime:

cooling often inhibited by **thermal inertia of built environment** and **waste heat exhaust** from energy use.



Mortality risk in US cities increased by ~2.5% per °F during prolonged periods of extreme heat (Anderson & Bell 2011).

Lack of nocturnal cooling can critically affect the amount of heat stress in large city core regions (Changnon et al. 1996).



Mapping urban growth – an example for one city

from Annemarie Schneider

Previous work in China and Southeast Asia

- Change detection using Landsat satellite data
- Assess trajectory of multiple time points
- Multitemporal data is key, but requires large amount of data processing
- Rely instead on coarse resolution datasets (250m–1km)

Chengdu, Western China: 400% increase in urban land, 1988-2009



Methods for mapping global urban expansion

Step 1: Delineate study area extent

- Merge 2001 MODIS map of urban extent with all point datasets on cities (GRUMP, UN, etc.).
- Categorize urban patches into three classes based on areal extent and population.
- Buffer by small, medium, and large urban areas by 5, 25, and 100 km, respectively.



Study extent (white) represents 30% of total land area in the region

Methods for mapping global urban expansion

Step 1: Delineate study area extent

- Merge 2001 MODIS map of urban extent with all point datasets on cities (GRUMP, UN, etc.).
- Categorize urban patches into three classes based on areal extent and population.
- Buffer by small, medium, and large urban areas by 5, 25, and 100 km, respectively.

Step 2: Map c. 2010 urban extent

- Supervised decision tree classification of 500-m multispectral data — probability of urban extent.
- Prior probability surface of urban land 250-m vegetation index data.
- Combine probabilities using data fusion (Bayes' Rule).



Study extent (white) represents 30% of total land area in the region





Step 3: urban expansion

Change detection

- Work backwards: were 2010 urban areas urban in 2000, or did they urbanize between 2000 and 2010?
- Use 2010 urban map to constrain change detection.
- 10-y growing season max EVI data; stacked, multi-date composite.





Step 3: urban expansion

Change detection

- Work backwards: were 2010 urban areas urban in 2000, or did they urbanize between 2000 and 2010?
- Use 2010 urban map to constrain change detection.
- 10-y growing season max EVI data; stacked, multi-date composite.
- Supervised boosted decision tree algorithm (C4.5).
- Local training data revisited, urban sites labeled as (1) stable urban areas, or (2) areas urbanized, 2000-2010.
- Output probabilities iteratively thresholded, compared to c2010 Google Earth imagery



Mertes et al. 2015 RSE

Chengdu – was the urban core 'stable urban'?



Chengdu – the urban core got 'brighter', both nighttime lights and backscatter



• urban fraction (arrow color) from MODIS land cover product.

Frolking et al. 2013 ERL



- 11 x 11 grid (0.05°) centered on each city (approx. 50x50 km)
- summer mean backscatter; annual mean night time lights.
- arrows point from NL and PR in 1999 to NL and PR in 2009.
- urban fraction (arrow color) from MODIS land cover product.
- water grid cells masked out.

0 - 19.9%	60-69.6%
20-29.9%	70-79.9%
30-39.9%	80-89.9%
40 - 49.9%	90-99.9%
50-59.9%	100%



- 11 x 11 grid (0.05°) centered on each city.
- summer mean backscatter; annual mean night time lights.
- arrows point from NL and PR in 1999 to NL and PR in 2009.
- urban fraction (arrow color) from MODIS land cover product.
- water grid cells masked out.

urban cover	
0-19.9%	60-69.6%
20-29.9%	70-79.9%
30-39.9%	80-89.9%
40-49.9%	90-99.9%
50-59.9%	100%



Frolking et al. 2013 ERL

Night lights & backscatter – different urban characteristics.





Night lights & backscatter – different urban characteristics & modes of change.



Still to do:

The proposed urban heat island analyses. Specific questions we plan to address:

- Comparing eastern Asia with eastern North America, are there differing trends in UHI that can be associated with different rates and types of 2000-2010 urban growth – lateral expansion, night lights, and/or backscatter?
- What does UHI seasonal hysteresis spatial variability, as reported by Zhou et al. (2013, GRL), correlate with?



3. Do we see a relationship between UHI and urban 'roughness', as simulated by Zhao et al. (2014, *Nature*), using backscatter as remote sensing metric of 'roughness'? Thank you

EXTRA SLIDES

Step 4: accuracy assessment

Assessing map accuracy

- Maps assessed using two-tier approach
 Tier 1: assess c2010 urban extent
 Tier 2: assess maps of urban expansion
- Approach: stratified random sample of sites labeled by multiple analysts, double-blind procedure.



• Results for overall accuracy, country-level:

Tier 1 (extent): 79-93% (mostly commission errors – overestimating urban)

Tier 2 (expansion): 70-91% (mostly commission errors – overestimating expansion)

• errors propagate – start with a good classification!

Overall accuracy by country, two-tier assessment





Quikscat level 1b backscatter

Azimuthal dependence of individual backscatter returns vary by city (high to none). Averaging over one or more months fully samples azimuthal range.

Although urban backscatter magnitude changed over 1999-2009, there was no trend in azimuthal dependence for several large cities we sampled.





- 11 x 11 grid (0.05°) centered on each city.
- summer mean backscatter; annual mean night time lights.
- arrows point from NL and PR in 1999 to NL and PR in 2009.
- urban fraction (arrow color) from MODIS land cover product.
- water grid cells masked out.

urban cover	
0-19.9%	60-69.6%
20-29.9%	70-79.9%
30-39.9%	80-89.9%
40-49.9%	90-99.9%
50-59.9%	100%