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Overview

1. Reprocessing of MODIS SSTs by OBPG – R2019:
   a) New cloud screening – Alternating Decision Tree.
   b) CMC as reference field
   c) Aerosol Correction – additive term to atmospheric correction algorithm if aerosol threshold passed.
   d) High-Latitude Coefficients

2. SST algorithm improvements:
   a) High Latitude atmospheric correction algorithm – explicit emissivity correction.
   b) Optimal Estimation of MODIS SSTs.

3. Ship-borne radiometers for algorithm improvements and assessment of accuracies of satellite-derived SSTs:
   a) M-AERI deployments
   b) MODIS matchups

4. Assessment of MODIS SSTs, for CDRs:
   a) M-AERI accuracies.
   b) Drifting buoy accuracies.
   c) Pacific Saildrone cruise
   d) Arctic Saildrone deployments.

5. Skin Layer Research
   a) Thermofluorescent Dyes to study Thermal Skin Layer.
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New cloud mask developed – Alternating Decision Trees.

Two step approach: to avoid degradation of accuracy in MODIS SSTs where there is no aerosol contamination, apply an additional aerosol correction when an aerosol index exceeds a threshold.

Dust-induced SST Difference Index (DSDI) algorithm based on simulated brightness temperatures (BTs) at infrared wavelengths of 3.9, 8.7, 10.8 and 12.0 µm,

\[
DSDI = a + (b + c \times S_0) \times (BT_{3.8} - BT_{12}) + d \times (BT_{3.8} - BT_{3.9}) + (e + f \times S_0) \times (BT_{11} - BT_{12}) + (g + h \times S_0) \times (BT_{11} - BT_{12})^2
\]

where \(S_0 = sec(\theta) - 1\). \(\theta\) is the satellite zenith angle.

When DSDI > 0.8, aerosol correction term, added to NLSST atmospheric correction:

\[
DSDI_{Correction} = 0.628 \times DSDI^2 - 4.528 \times DSDI + 2.071
\]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality Flag</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Before correction (K)</th>
<th>After correction (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>STD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>STD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>86092</td>
<td>-0.217</td>
<td>-0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>47030</td>
<td>-0.482</td>
<td>-0.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50919</td>
<td>-0.974</td>
<td>-0.830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>184041</td>
<td>-0.494</td>
<td>-0.355</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Time Series of Aqua ΔSST (New Coefficients)

Coefficients for north of 60°N
# High Latitude MODIS Coefficients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality Level</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Original coefficients</th>
<th>New coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>31604</td>
<td>-0.535</td>
<td>-0.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9257</td>
<td>-0.909</td>
<td>-0.830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40861</td>
<td>-0.620</td>
<td>-0.555</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Original: coefficient for latitudes >40°N; New: coefficients for latitudes >60°N.
Main difference between QL0 and QL1 is path length: QL1 for θ > 45°.
R2104 data with BDTree cloud screening.
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High Latitude Atmospheric Correction

- NLSST type atmospheric correction is based on the relationship between BT(\(\lambda\))s and atmospheric humidity.
- When atmosphere is very dry, this breaks down.
- When atmospheric humidity is not the main cause of the temperature deficit, the surface emissivity may become important.
High Latitude Atmospheric Correction

- MODIS MUDB, MERRA-2 fields and RTTOV radiative transfer model:

When atmosphere is moist, $\varepsilon$ effects are smaller.

$\varepsilon$ effects are greater at high $\theta$, QL = 1
High Latitude Atmospheric Correction

- An emissivity correction requires an estimate of effective air temperature.
- Use MERRA-2 near-surface air temperature as a proxy.
- Emissivity correction can be devised and applied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality Level</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Before correction</th>
<th></th>
<th>After correction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>STD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>31604</td>
<td>-0.163</td>
<td>-0.134</td>
<td>0.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9257</td>
<td>-0.688</td>
<td>-0.621</td>
<td>0.639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40861</td>
<td>-0.282</td>
<td>-0.217</td>
<td>0.557</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Aqua MODIS, night SST
Combining NLSST and Optimal Estimation MODIS SSTs

Determine whether the combined NLSST and OE approach derives a more accurate SST\textsubscript{skin} (for cloud free conditions) than currently available from MODIS NLSSTs.

Hybrid estimates may be less dependent on atmospheric variability than NLSST retrievals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For Saharan outflow area</th>
<th>Day qf=0</th>
<th>Day qf=1</th>
<th>Night qf=0</th>
<th>Night qf=1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (Median)</td>
<td>Std (RStd)</td>
<td>Mean (Median)</td>
<td>Std (RStd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔSST\textsubscript{OE}</td>
<td>-0.18 (-0.15)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.45)</td>
<td>-0.19 (-0.18)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔSST\textsubscript{NL}</td>
<td>0.03 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.58)</td>
<td>-0.08 (-0.04)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.74)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MODIS SST\textsubscript{skin} – in situ temperature difference for the 2009 match-up database data for NLSST and OESST Quality = 0 (top) and quality = 1 (bottom)
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M-AERI deployments

• M-AERI (Marine-Atmospheric Emitted Radiance Interferometer) is Fourier-Transform IR spectroradiometer with SI-traceable calibration. Initial installations began in 1996.

• Three Mk2 M-AERI’s are deployed on Royal Caribbean Cruise Line ships.

• One Mk3 to be deployed on the NOAA Ship Ronald H Brown for cruises in Atlantic December 2019 – July 2020.

• M-AERIs now operate autonomously over satellite internet link.
M-AERI Mk2 installed on the *Adventure of the Seas*. 
Aqua MODIS to M-AERI matchups

Gross statistics of the comparison of skin SST derived from MODIS on Aqua and M-AERI measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ship</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Mean K</th>
<th>Median K</th>
<th>St. Dev. K</th>
<th>Robust St. Dev. K</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Atmospheric correction algorithm: LW Bands 31 &amp; 32 (SST)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allure of the Seas</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>1124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celebrity Equinox</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>1563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Atmospheric correction algorithm: MW Bands 22 &amp; 23 (SST4)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allure of the Seas</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>-0.086</td>
<td>-0.014</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>1153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celebrity Equinox</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>1597</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Highest quality, night-time data: confidently cloud-free & satellite zenith angle <45°.
Track of the NOAA Ship *Ronald H. Brown*, colored by the SST$_{\text{skin}}$ measured by the M-AERI-Mk3, scale at right in K. Gaps are due to rain, spray or instrument issues. The cruise started on Ft. Lauderdale, FL, on March 3, 2018 and ended in Charleston, SC, on October 23, 2018.

M-AERI-Mk3 will be installed on November 25, 2019, in Charleston, SC, with planned removal in July 2020 in San Diego, CA.
Aqua MODIS SST4 Median and RSD vs drifters

MODIS-A Night SST4 algorithm
monthly median and RSD buoy residuals

Month of Year
buoy residuals

MODIS/VIIRS Science Team Meeting November 19, 2019
Aqua MODIS 11-22μm SST wrt buoy temperatures

Aqua MODIS Night SST R2019 wrt Buoys.
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Statistics shown here (and in many papers and reports) of differences between satellite and validating SSTs are often interpreted as an assessment of the accuracy of the satellite measurements – assumes contributions to the differences from the validating sensor and from the method of comparisons are negligible. This is not always the case.

To generate SST CDRs, a rigorous assessment of the accuracies of the measurements used to validate the satellite SST retrievals is needed.
M-AERI Mk2 accuracies – CEOS NPL Workshop

At \( \lambda = 7.7 \, \mu m \) (1302 cm\(^{-1}\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Type A Uncertainty Value [K]</th>
<th>Type B Uncertainty in [K]</th>
<th>Uncertainty in Brightness temp in K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repeatability of Measurement</td>
<td>0.0349</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproducibility of Measurement</td>
<td>0.0178 (0.0089)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linearity of radiometer</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary calibration</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drift since calibration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS total</td>
<td>0.0392 (0.0360)</td>
<td>0.0091</td>
<td>0.0402 (0.0372)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Drifting buoy thermometer accuracies

- The underpinning of the MODIS SST algorithms to drifting buoy matchups places great importance on the accuracy of the buoy thermometers.

- Thermometers are calibrated prior to deployment, but do they retain calibration at sea over months to years?

- Drifting buoys from three manufacturers were moored off RSMAS dock for long-duration deployment to assess calibration drift and effects of bio-fouling.

- A reference thermometer, with SI-traceable calibration, in a modified buoy provides a benchmark.
SST of drifters 300234064832010
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Saildrone deployments.

- In the NOPP MISST-3* (Multi-sensor Improved SST) project, we will deploy Saildrones in the ice-free summer Arctic to provide data for improving SSTs from IR radiometers on satellites.
- In a pilot project we deployed a Saildrone off the West Coast, 11 April – 10 June 2018, we added a subsurface CTD thermometer at ~30 cm.
- Two Saildrones collect data in the Chukchi Sea in summer 2019. One minute sampling for 150 days each.

* PI: Chelle Gentemann, Earth & Space Research
CoIs: Mike Steele, APL, U Washington
       Peter Minnett, RSMAS, U. Miami
Saildrone: Aqua MODIS comparisons


**Night best quality all records – multiple Saildrone temperatures in MODIS pixel**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Median</th>
<th>St Dev</th>
<th>RSD</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>1.096</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>526</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Night best quality unique – closest time Saildrone temperature in MODIS pixel.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Median</th>
<th>St Dev</th>
<th>RSD</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One minute measurements for 150 days each.

Include data from very close to melting ice.
Using Machine Learning for SSES

• SSES – Single sensor error statistics; used to assign errors and uncertainties to each SST retrieval.

• Current approach is to use the 7-dimensional hypercube containing means and standard deviations of matchups.

• Cells are unevenly populated, some are empty. Discontinuities exist at cell boundaries.

• Use ML approaches to provide better SSES.

• Using 7 variables from MUDB, Random Forest and Cubic Decision Trees were developed.

• Cubist is better. Eight rule sets were identified.
Cubist Rule Sets

Distribution of SST residuals wrt buoy temperatures in each Cubist Rule Set. The green lines are the median SST residual for the Rule Set and the blue lines are the median ± the MAD of SST residuals.
Geographic distribution of matchups in each Rule Set. Colors indicate the geographic prevalence of each Rule Set; the values indicate the percentage of all matchups within each spatial bin assigned to a specific Rule Set.
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Thermo-Fluorescent Dyes

From E. Theocharous, Optical Technologies & SC Team, NPL, Teddington, UK
Thermo-Fluorescent Dyes

Laboratory for Molecular Photonics
Department of Chemistry
University of Miami

New phenomena and innovative materials can be designed around the ability of molecules to absorb and emit photons!

F. Raymo, U. Miami.
Can we use thermofluorescent dyes to measure the vertical structure of the thermal skin layer?

Yes!
Summary

• Both MODIS’s are very stable instruments in the IR.
  • Algorithm developments are improving accuracy; assessment of accuracy also improving.

• Focus on refining high latitude SSTs (MISST-3) and in aerosol regions (Bingkun Luo has a NASA FINESST award).

• Attempts to characterize stability of drifter temperatures.

• Working to incorporate Saildrone data in assessment of satellite SST accuracies.

• No lab rats were harmed in this research.
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